## **Mundelein Cons HSD 120**

#### **District Superintendent**

Dr. Kevin Myers kmyers@d120.org

### Address

1500 W Hawley St Mundelein IL 60060 (847)949-2700

### http://www.d120.org



2023 - 2024

### **District Provided Statement**

MHS has many accomplishments beyond the numbers. MHS received the "Best Communities in Music Education" award by the National Assn. of Music Merchants, reached as semi-finalist in the National Business Incubator competition, and was ranked among the nation's best schools by U.S. News & World Report. We're proud that every one of the varsity teams received the IHSA Team All-Academic award.

## **About the Report Card**

# State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2024 Report Card Metrics and the 2024 Glossary of Terms.

## **District Snapshot**


Percent of Adequacy : 74.2% Chronic Absenteeism : 15.4% Principal Turnover : 2 Schools in District : 1 Senate District : 26 House District : 52

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 02 | Academic Progress
- 57 | District Environment
- 65 | Students
- 114 | Accountability
- 127 | Teachers
- **137 | Administrators & Support** Personnel
- 142 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2021-22)
- 144 | NAEP

## About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### SAT

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of 11th-graders scoring at each of the performance levels for the SAT. Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scores for the assessment. There are four Performance Levels for the SAT:

- Level 1 Partially Meets Standards: The student has only partially met standards and demonstrates a minimal understanding of the knowledge and skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.
- Level 2 Approaching Standards: The student is approaching the proficiency level and demonstrates an incomplete understanding of the knowledge and skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.
- Level 3 Meets Standards: The student has met the proficiency level and demonstrates adequate understanding of the knowledge and skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.
- Level 4 Exceeds Standards: The student has exceeded the proficiency level and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the knowledge and skills needed relative to the Illinois Learning Standards.

## SAT (cont)

Grade 11								
	ELA				Mathematics	S		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	26.6%	37.5%	24.5%	11.3%	40.3%	32.5%	22.3%	4.8%
State	33.5%	34.9%	20.5%	11.1%	47.7%	25.7%	20.3%	6.3%
Female								
District	23.9%	38.0%	25.7%	12.3%	42.0%	33.0%	20.7%	4.3%
State	31.1%	36.7%	21.4%	10.8%	47.3%	27.7%	20.1%	4.9%
Male							i	
District	29.4%	37.0%	23.3%	10.3%	38.5%	32.1%	24.0%	5.3%
State	36.0%	33.1%	19.6%	11.3%	48.1%	23.8%	20.5%	7.6%
Non Binary	i		I			i	I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.7%	21.4%	34.0%	33.0%	26.2%	25.2%	38.8%	9.7%
American Ir	ndian						i	
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	43.0%	26.9%	20.6%	9.6%	53.1%	21.2%	21.2%	4.5%
Asian		I	K	I	I	I	K	I
District	9.1%	27.3%	22.7%	40.9%	13.6%	27.3%	36.4%	22.7%
State	11.7%	23.9%	28.7%	35.7%	15.2%	19.5%	33.6%	31.8%
Black			L				L	
District	30.0%	50.0%	0.0%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%
State	55.9%	32.0%	9.6%	2.4%	74.4%	18.3%	6.5%	0.9%
Hispanic			1			I		
District	41.9%	42.7%	14.1%	1.2%	60.1%	27.8%	10.9%	1.2%
State	45.7%	36.2%	14.1%	4.0%	61.7%	24.2%	12.3%	1.8%

## SAT (cont)

Grade 11								
	ELA				Mathematics	5		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific I	slander			i		i	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	31.9%	27.8%	26.4%	13.9%	40.3%	20.8%	29.9%	9.0%
Two or More	e Races							
District	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%	11.8%	38.2%	26.5%	26.5%	8.8%
State	28.6%	34.1%	22.6%	14.8%	44.2%	26.1%	21.3%	8.4%
White								
District	11.7%	32.7%	36.3%	19.3%	21.1%	39.0%	33.6%	6.3%
State	21.7%	36.4%	26.9%	14.9%	34.6%	29.9%	28.0%	7.5%
Students wi	ith Disabilities							
District	47.8%	27.8%	15.7%	<b>8.7</b> %	60.0%	23.5%	15.7%	0.9%
State	56.5%	23.5%	12.3%	7.6%	69.3%	15.7%	11.6%	3.4%
English Lea	rners							
District	<b>88.7</b> %	9.9%	1.4%	0.0%	93.0%	5.6%	1.4%	0.0%
State	81.0%	17.5%	1.5%	0.0%	86.9%	10.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Homeless								
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	67.5%	24.8%	6.3%	1.5%	82.4%	12.7%	4.6%	0.3%
Students wi	ith IEPs							
District	73.1%	14.9%	9.0%	3.0%	83.6%	11.9%	4.5%	0.0%
State	76.2%	17.2%	4.7%	2.0%	87.8%	8.1%	3.3%	0.8%

## SAT (cont)

Grade 11									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income									
District	42.9%	39.0%	16.2%	1.9%	<b>58.4</b> %	27.9%	12.3%	1.3%	
State	49.9%	34.5%	12.3%	3.3%	66.7%	21.8%	10.1%	1.5%	
Migrant									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	76.0%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<b>76.0</b> %	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Military									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	33.6%	34.8%	23.5%	8.1%	46.8%	30.6%	20.3%	2.4%	
Non-English L	.earners								
District	17.1%	41.8%	28.1%	13.1%	32.3%	36.6%	25.5%	5.6%	
State	28.2%	36.8%	22.7%	12.3%	43.3%	27.4%	22.4%	7.0%	
Non-IEP									
District	20.0%	40.8%	26.8%	12.5%	34.2%	35.5%	24.8%	5.5%	
State	27.7%	37.3%	22.7%	12.3%	42.2%	28.1%	22.6%	7.0%	
Non Low Inco	me								
District	20.1%	37.0%	27.9%	15.1%	33.1%	34.4%	26.3%	6.3%	
State	20.2%	35.2%	27.3%	17.4%	32.1%	28.9%	28.7%	10.2%	
Youth In Care	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	67.3%	26.4%	4.9%	1.5%	86.7%	11.8%	1.5%	0.0%	

### DLM

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

Grade 11								
	ELA				Mathematics	3		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	+	\$	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	43.8%	33.9%	19.6%	2.8%	52.3%	27.6%	19.6%	0.4%
Female								
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
State	44.1%	35.4%	17.4%	3.1%	56.4%	27.5%	16.2%	0.0%
Male								
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	43.6%	33.0%	20.8%	2.6%	50.1%	27.6%	21.6%	0.7%
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American lı	ndian				i			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian					i			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.6%	32.1%	14.1%	1.3%	53.2%	27.8%	19.0%	0.0%
Black	I			I	I		I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.8%	35.3%	20.3%	2.6%	50.4%	27.9%	21.2%	0.5%
Hispanic	1	1	L	1			1	
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	47.4%	34.0%	16.7%	1.9%	53.8%	26.8%	18.8%	0.6%

Grade 11								
	ELA				Mathematics	6		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific	Islander		I	I		I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	e Races				I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	33.9%	33.9%	27.4%	4.8%	45.2%	32.3%	22.6%	0.0%
White	I	I		I	I		I	
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	41.9%	33.2%	21.5%	3.4%	52.8%	27.5%	19.3%	0.3%
Students w	ith Disabilities	5		I	I		I	
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	43.8%	33.8%	19.6%	2.8%	52.3%	27.6%	19.7%	0.4%
English Lea	rners	I		I	I		I	
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	48.8%	31.6%	18.0%	1.6%	52.5%	26.1%	20.9%	0.5%
Homeless					I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	34.1%	26.8%	36.6%	2.4%	42.5%	37.5%	17.5%	2.5%
Students w	ith IEPs	1	1	1		1	1	
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	43.8%	33.8%	19.6%	2.8%	52.3%	27.6%	19.7%	0.4%

Grade 11									
	ELA				Mathematics	Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income	Low Income								
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
State	45.4%	32.8%	19.2%	2.6%	53.9%	27.9%	17.9%	0.3%	
Migrant	Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Military	1	1		1					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	0.0%	
Youth In Care	!	1		1					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	59.3%	18.5%	18.5%	3.7%	65.5%	17.2%	17.2%	0.0%	

Grade 11				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	63.9%	25.4%	8.7%	2.0%
Female				
District	+	+	+	ŧ
State	64.7%	26.8%	7.4%	1.1%
Male				
District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>
State	63.5%	24.5%	9.5%	2.5%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	20.6%	2.9%	1.5%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	62.5%	26.8%	9.0%	1.6%
Hispanic				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	67.9%	23.6%	7.5%	0.9%

Grade 11				
	- ·			
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	Islander	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races				<u> </u>
District	*	*	*	*
State	61.0%	23.7%	13.6%	1.7%
White				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	60.9%	26.4%	9.5%	3.1%
Students with Disabilities	5			
District	+	ŧ	+	+
State	63.9%	25.3%	8.8%	2.0%
English Learners				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	70.3%	20.9%	7.6%	1.2%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	59.0%	25.6%	12.8%	2.6%
Students with IEPs	·	·	·	·
District	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	<b>‡</b>
	63.9%	25.3%	8.8%	2.0%

Grade 11								
	Science							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Low Income	Low Income							
District	+	<b>‡</b>	+	<b>‡</b>				
State	64.7%	25.1%	8.6%	1.6%				
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*				
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Military								
District	*	*	*	*				
State	70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%				
Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	•				
State	64.0%	32.0%	0.0%	4.0%				

### ISA

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

## ISA (cont)

Grade HS								
	Science							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
All								
District	12.4%	25.2%	46.6%	15.8%				
State	21.4%	26.2%	37.4%	14.9%				
Female								
District	8.5%	24.7%	54.6%	12.2%				
State	18.6%	28.4%	40.6%	12.3%				
Male								
District	16.6%	25.7%	37.9%	19.8%				
State	24.1%	24.2%	34.3%	17.4%				
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*				
State	8.2%	9.3%	45.4%	37.1%				
American Indian								
District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>				
State	26.6%	26.3%	33.9%	13.2%				
Asian								
District	10.0%	10.0%	45.0%	35.0%				
State	7.9%	14.9%	39.4%	37.8%				
Black								
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ				
State	39.8%	33.7%	23.5%	3.1%				
Hispanic	·	·	·	·				
District	16.0%	35.4%	41.6%	7.0%				
State	27.2%	32.3%	33.7%	6.7%				

## ISA (cont)

Grade HS							
	Science						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
MENA							
District	*	*	*	•			
State	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Is	slander						
District	*	*	*	*			
State	17.0%	25.9%	36.3%	20.7%			
Two or More Races							
District	15.6%	21.9%	43.8%	18.8%			
State	17.7%	24.5%	39.0%	18.7%			
White							
District	7.3%	15.5%	54.3%	22.8%			
State	14.1%	21.8%	43.6%	20.5%			
Students with Disabilities							
District	27.5%	28.4%	36.7%	7.3%			
State	37.9%	28.9%	24.3%	8.9%			
English Learners							
District	36.8%	47.1%	14.7%	1.5%			
State	45.2%	38.9%	15.3%	0.5%			
Homeless							
District	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>			
State	44.4%	32.3%	20.6%	2.8%			
Students with IEPs							
District	38.1%	36.5%	22.2%	3.2%			
State	50.1%	32.0%	15.0%	2.9%			

## ISA (cont)

Grade HS								
	Science							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Low Income	Low Income							
District	13.2%	36.8%	40.8%	9.2%				
State	31.1%	32.3%	30.5%	6.1%				
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*				
State	43.5%	34.8%	21.7%	0.0%				
Military								
District	*	*	*	*				
State	17.6%	26.9%	41.2%	14.2%				
Youth In Care		·	·					
District	*	*	*	*				
State	43.5%	33.2%	21.4%	2.0%				

## **Proficiency**

#### What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All T	ests												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	35.5%	37.5%	33.3%	*	+	63.6%	ŧ	15.0%	*	*	41.2%	55.1%	23.5%
State	39.4%	43.6%	35.3%	63.3%	31.0%	66.9%	20.3%	26.7%	<b>39.4</b> %	46.1%	42.5%	50.2%	18.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	ŧ	17.8	% ‡		*	*	*					
State	11.8%	10.6%	24.6	5% 1	3.0%	7.9%	<b>42.7</b> %	<b>16.1</b> %					

#### Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	26.7%	24.6%	28.8%	*	ŧ	59.1%	ŧ	11.9%	*	*	35.3%	<b>39.2</b> %	16.0%
State	27.9%	<b>26.2</b> %	29.6%	40.6%	23.3%	61.3%	8.9%	15.3%	33.3%	34.7%	30.6%	<b>38.0</b> %	13.7%
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ne Ho	meless I	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	+	+	13.4%	6 ‡	4	k -	*	*					
State	8.0%	8.0%	13.7%	6.4	%	6.3%	30.1%	7.6%					

## **Proficiency (cont)**

Science -	All Tests												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	62.0%	66.5%	<b>57.3</b> %	*	+	80.0%	+	48.4%	*	*	<b>62.5</b> %	76.5%	42.9%
State	<b>52.7</b> %	52.6%	<b>52.9</b> %	81.6%	47.4%	77.9%	26.7%	<b>39.8</b> %	54.5%	60.4%	56.8%	66.1%	30.4%
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ne Ho	omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	15.9%	24.2%	<b>49.7</b> 9	% ‡	:	e	*	*					
State	18.7%	18.8%	36.7%	6 22	2 <b>.9</b> %	17.1%	57.1%	24.8%					

## **Participation Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All To	ests - Par	ticipatior	n										
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%	*	+	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	*	*	97.1%	<b>99.1%</b>	95.2%
State	98.6%	98.7%	<b>98.5</b> %	95.9%	98.2%	99.2%	<b>97.7</b> %	98.4%	97.3%	98.4%	98.4%	98.9%	97.4%
	English Learners	Studen with IEF			lomeless I	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	96.8%	94.7%	95.5	i% <b>1</b>	00.0%	e	*	*					
State	98.4%	97.1%	98.2	2% 9	5.1%	97.4%	98.8%	94.5%					

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%	*	ŧ	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	*	*	97.1%	99.1%	<b>95.2</b> %
State	<b>98.5</b> %	98.6%	98.4%	95.9%	98.0%	99.1%	97.5%	98.3%	97.3%	98.3%	98.2%	<b>98.9</b> %	<b>97.2</b> %
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	96.8%	94.7%	95.	5% 1	00.0%	*	*	*					
State	98.2%	96.9%	98.	1% 9	94.8%	<b>97.8</b> %	98.8%	94.4%					

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

#### Science - All Tests - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	93.9%	95.5%	<b>92.1</b> %	*	+	90.9%	+	92.3%	*	*	88.9%	96.9%	90.3%
State	96.0%	96.1%	95.8%	95.1%	95.1%	97.2%	93.0%	95.4%	83.9%	94.6%	95.5%	<b>97.3</b> %	93.6%
	English Learners	Student with IEF			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	91.7%	88.0%	95.2	% ‡	*		*	*					
State	94.9%	93.0%	94.7	% 88	9.9% 9	5.7%	96.5%	<b>86.7</b> %					

## Participation Rate (cont)

#### **Overall SAT ELA - Participation**

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%	*	ŧ	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	*	*	97.1%	<b>99.1</b> %	95.0%
State	96.3%	96.7%	95.8%	96.3%	93.0%	98.4%	92.4%	95.2%	100.0%	95.0%	96.2%	98.1%	<b>93.7</b> %
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless 1	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	<b>96.7</b> %	94.4%	95.	5% 1	100.0% *	c	*	*					
State	93.8%	92.0%	94.:	2% 8	38.5% §	90.6%	96.5%	78.9%					

Overall SA	T Mathem	natics - Pa	articipati	on									
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%	*	+	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	*	*	97.1%	99.1%	95.0%
State	<b>96.2</b> %	96.6%	95.8%	<b>96.3</b> %	93.0%	98.4%	92.3%	95.2%	100.0%	95.0%	96.1%	98.0%	93.5%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless I	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	<b>96.7</b> %	94.4%	95.5	i% 1	00.0%	k -	*	*					
State	93.8%	91.7%	94.1	% 8	38.3%	90.6%	<b>96.4</b> %	78.6%					

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

#### **Overall DLM ELA - Participation**

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b>	*	*	*	+	ŧ
State	<b>97.6</b> %	<b>97.7</b> %	<b>97.6</b> %	*	96.0%	98.0%	97.3%	<b>97.5</b> %	100.0%	100.0%	97.7%	<b>97.9</b> %	97.6%
	English Learners	Studen with IE			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	+	+	*		*	*	*					
State	97.7%	97.6%	97.5	i% <b>9</b> 6	6.6%	100.0%	<b>97.8</b> %	97.8%					

#### **Overall DLM Mathematics - Participation** Native Students Hawaiian/ Twoor Non American Pacific More with All Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White Disabilities \* \* \* District ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ \* 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 96.0% 98.1% 97.3% 97.3% 100.0% 100.0% 97.7% 97.8% 97.6% State English Students Youth In Low Learners with IEPs Income Homeless Migrant Military Care \* **District** ŧ ŧ ŧ 97.5% 97.6% 97.5% 96.6% 100.0% 97.8% 98.4% State

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

Overall	DLM	Science ·	- Participation
---------	-----	-----------	-----------------

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	*	*	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
State	<b>96.4</b> %	96.6%	96.3%	*	100.0%	97.0%	96.9%	95.2%	0.0%	100.0%	95.9%	96.9%	96.4%
	English Learners	Student with IEF			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care		-			
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	*		*	*	*					
State	96.2%	<b>96.4</b> %	96.2	% 93	.7%	100.0%	<b>94.9</b> %	98.4%					

#### **Overall - Participation**

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%	*	+	90.9%	ŧ	92.6%	*	*	88.9%	96.9%	90.8%
State	96.0%	96.1%	95.8%	95.1%	95.1%	97.2%	92.9%	95.4%	<b>86.7</b> %	94.6%	95.5%	<b>97.3</b> %	93.5%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	92.7%	88.7%	95.2	% ‡	*		*	*					
State	94.8%	92.8%	94.7	% 88	9.8% 9	15.7%	96.5%	86.2%					

## Participation Rate (cont)

#### ELA - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	*	ŧ	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	*	*	2.9%	0.9%	4.8%
State	1.5%	1.3%	<b>1.6</b> %	4.1%	1.8%	0.8%	2.4%	1.6%	<b>2.7</b> %	1.6%	1.7%	1.1%	<b>2.7</b> %
	English Learners	Studen with IE			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	3.2%	5.3%	4.5	% 0.	0%	*	*	*					
State	1.7%	3.0%	1.8%	<b>5</b> .	0%	2.6%	1.2%	6.4%					

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	*	+	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	*	*	2.9%	0.9%	4.8%
State	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	4.1%	2.0%	0.9%	2.6%	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.2%	2.9%
	English Learners	ish Students Low			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	3.2%	5.3%	4.5	% 0	.0%	*	*	*					
State	1.8%	3.2%	2.0	% 5	.3%	2.2%	1.2%	6.5%					

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

Science -	All Tests	- Non Par	ticipatio	n									
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	6.1%	4.5%	<b>7.9</b> %	*	+	<b>9.1</b> %	+	7.7%	*	*	11.1%	<b>3.1</b> %	9.7%
State	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%	4.9%	4.9%	2.8%	7.2%	4.7%	16.1%	5.4%	4.6%	2.8%	6.5%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	8.3%	12.0%	4.8%	6 ‡	:	*	*	*					
State	5.3%	7.1%	5.4%	6 1	1.6%	4.3%	3.5%	14.6%					

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

**Overall SAT Mathematics - Non Participation** 

6.3%

State

8.3%

6.0%

11.7%

#### Overall SAT ELA - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	*	<b>‡</b>	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	*	*	2.9%	0.9%	5.0%
State	3.8%	3.3%	<b>4.2</b> %	3.7%	7.0%	1.6%	7.7%	4.8%	0.0%	5.0%	3.8%	1.9%	<b>6.4</b> %
	English Learners	Student with IEF			lomeless l	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	3.3%	5.6%	4.5%	6 0	.0% '	t -	*	*					
State	6.2%	8.1%	5.9%	5 <b>1</b> 1	1.5%	9.4%	3.5%	21.4%					

#### Native Hawaiian/ Twoor Non American Pacific More All Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White 5.3% \* District 3.1% 2.7% 3.5% ŧ 0.0% 0.0% 2.9% 0.9% 3.7% 7.0% 1.6% 4.8% 0.0% 5.0% 3.9% State 3.8% 3.4% 4.3% 7.8% 2.0% English Students Youth In Low Learners with IEPs Income Homeless Migrant Military Care 0.0% **District** 3.3% 5.6% 4.5%

9.4%

3.6%

21.7%

\* indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Students

Disabilities

with

5.0%

6.6%

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

Overall DLM ELA - Non	Participation
-----------------------	---------------

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	*	*	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
State	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	*	4.0%	2.0%	2.7%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.1%	2.4%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless N	ligrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	*	*		*	*					
State	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	6 3	.4% (	0.0%	2.2%	2.2%					

Overall DL	M Mathen	natics - N	on Partic	ipation									
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	+	ŧ	+	*	*	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
State	2.4%	2.4%	2.5%	*	4.0%	1.9%	2.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.2%	2.4%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	*		*	*	*					
State	2.5%	2.4%	2.5%	<b>3</b> .	4%	0.0%	2.2%	1.6%					

## **Participation Rate (cont)**

Overall DL	M Scienc	e - Non Pa	articipati	ion									
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	*	*	*	*	+	*	*	*	ŧ	+
State	3.6%	3.4%	3.8%	*	0.0%	3.0%	3.2%	4.8%	100.0%	0.0%	4.1%	3.1%	3.6%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	*		*	*	*					
State	3.8%	3.6%	<b>3.8</b> %	6 6.	3%	0.0%	5.1%	1.6%					

Overall ISA	A - Non Pa	rticipatio	n										
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	6.0%	4.5%	<b>7.6</b> %	*	ŧ	9.1%	ŧ	7.4%	*	*	11.1%	<b>3.1</b> %	9.2%
State	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%	4.9%	4.9%	2.8%	7.3%	4.7%	13.3%	5.4%	4.6%	<b>2.8</b> %	6.6%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	7.3%	11.3%	4.8%	6 <b>‡</b>	*		*	*					
State	5.3%	7.4%	<b>5.4</b> %	6 11.	7% 4	<b>3</b> %	3.5%	15.1%					

### **9th Grade On Track**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of 9th graders on track. They are defined as the percentage of first-time ninth-grade students who have earned at least five course credits without failing more than 0.5 course credits in their core subjects. For the purpose of this metric, core subjects include reading, math, science, and social studies.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>87.9</b> %	<b>87.8</b> %	88.0%	*	*	100.0%	<b>84.2</b> %	80.1%	*	ŧ	88.5%	97.2%	83.6%
State	88.2%	89.8%	<b>86.7</b> %	82.4%	85.4%	<b>96.7</b> %	<b>79.7</b> %	84.1%	91.2%	91.3%	<b>87.1</b> %	<b>92.7</b> %	84.2%
	English Students Low												

	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	73.2%	83.1%	<b>78.1</b> %
State	<b>79.7</b> %	82.8%	<b>81.7</b> %

### **Career and Technical Education**

#### What is it?

Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs in Illinois provide instruction for careers in high-wage, high-skill, and in-demand occupations.

Four year graduation rate: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators who graduate high school as measured by the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Extended graduation rate: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators who graduate high school as measured by the extended-year adjusted graduation rate as defined in such Section 8101 (within six years of entering high school), both as a whole and broken down by student group.

ELA Proficiency: This shows CTE concentrators' proficiency in the challenging state academic standards adopted by the state under Section 111(b)(1) of the ESEA of 1965, as measured by the academic assessments in reading/language arts as described in Section 111(b) (2) of such Act, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Math Proficiency: This shows CTE concentrators' proficiency in the challenging state academic standards adopted by the state under Section 111(b)(1) of the ESEA of 1965, as measured by the academic assessments in mathematics as described in Section 1111(b)(2) of such Act, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Science Proficiency: This shows CTE concentrators' proficiency in the challenging state academic standards adopted by the state under Section 111(b)(1) of the ESEA of 1965, as measured by the academic assessments in science as described in Section 111(b)(2) of such Act, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Post-Program Placement: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators who, in the second quarter after exiting from secondary education, are in post secondary education or advanced training, military service, or a service program that receives assistance under Title I of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12511 et seq.), are volunteers as described in Section 5(a) of the Peace Corps Act (22 U.S.C. 2504(a)), or are employed, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Nontraditional program enrollment rate: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators in CTE programs and programs of study that lead to fields in which their gender is underrepresented, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Attained postsecondary credits rate: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators graduating from high school having attained post secondary credits in the relevant career and technical education program or program of study earned through a dual or concurrent enrollment or another credit transfer agreement, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Work-based learning rate: This shows the percentage of CTE concentrators graduating from high school having participated in workbased learning, both as a whole and broken down by student group.

Total Count of CTE Participants: This shows the total count of CTE participants, both as a whole and broken down by student group. Subsequent sections throughout the remainder of this section represent subtotals within each of the career cluster program areas available statewide.

#### Perkins Measures - Four-Year Graduation Rate

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	98.3%	100.0%	<b>97.6</b> %	*	*	+	ŧ	<b>98.7</b> %	*	*	ŧ	97.7%	97.9%
State	96.1%	96.5%	95.8%	96.0%	90.7%	98.0%	94.8%	94.9%	0.0%	92.5%	95.5%	96.8%	92.3%
	English Students Low Learners Homeless with IEPs Income Migrant N					Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth Care	In		
District	94.1%	*	95.5%	% 97	*.8%		*	100.0%	*	*	*		
State	92.3%	88.9%	89.1%	6 93	.8% 1	00.0%	96.2%	96.2%	85.7%	87.1%	78.4%		

#### Perkins Measures - Extended (Six-Year) Graduation Rate Native Hawaiian/ Twoor Students Non American Pacific More with All MENA Islander Disabilities Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic Races White \* \* **District** 98.5% ŧ 98.2% ŧ ŧ 100.0% \* ŧ 96.4% 100.0% \* 96.5% 97.0% 96.1% 100.0% 97.6% 98.8% 95.4% 95.9% 100.0% 94.9% 96.8% 94.3% State Non English Students Low Traditional Out of Single Youth In Learners Homeless with IEPs Income Migrant Military Fields Workforce Parents Care \* 100.0% \* \* **District** ± ŧ 92.2% 100.0% 90.1% 92.0% 91.6% 93.9% 85.7% 96.3% 96.1% 87.9% State

#### Perkins Measures - Academic Proficiency Rate in Reading/Language Art Native Hawaiian/ Students Twoor Pacific with Non American More All Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White Disabilities 31.6% \* \* \* \* District 22.0% 35.5% ŧ ŧ 13.3% ± 41.2% 28.3% \* State 29.2% 29.6% 28.8% 54.2% 27.4% **58.5**% 9.2% 15.7% 31.6% 32.0% 35.7% 19.6% Non English Students Low Traditional Out of Single Youth In Learners with IEPs Fields Parents Care Homeless Income Migrant Military Workforce \* \* \* **District** ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ 3.4% 9.7% 6.5% 14.5% 0.0% 29.3% 28.9% 50.0% 17.3% 9.7% State

#### Perkins Measures - Academic Proficiency Rate in Mathematics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	25.9%	+	29.8%	*	*	ŧ	+	<b>‡</b>	*	*	ŧ	<b>36.5</b> %	21.7%
State	25.1%	22.0%	<b>27.6</b> %	<b>54.2</b> %	20.8%	60.4%	6.1%	<b>12.7</b> %	*	36.8%	25.1%	<b>30.6</b> %	14.9%

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth In Care
District	+	*	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*
State	3.6%	5.8%	4.2%	10.7%	0.0%	20.5%	23.0%	16.7%	13.6%	2.9%

#### Perkins Measures - Academic Proficiency Rate in Science

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	63.5%	57.5%	65.8%	*	*	+	+	45.2%	*	*	+	74.7%	53.5%
State	53.6%	51.9%	55.0%	57.1%	54.0%	76.0%	25.7%	42.3%	*	50.0%	54.3%	61.1%	34.3%

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth In Care
District	<b>‡</b>	*	+	43.9%	*	*	93.3%	*	*	*
State	17.6%	29.6%	19.5%	39.3%	0.0%	55.1%	53.8%	83.3%	45.8%	24.7%

#### Perkins Measures - Post-Program Placement

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>82.1</b> %	82.8%	81.8%	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	67.7%	*	*	ŧ	<b>88.7</b> %	60.0%
State	70.6%	<b>78.1</b> %	64.8%	50.0%	<b>67.8</b> %	86.0%	<b>67</b> .1%	66.3%	*	<b>68.1</b> %	68.3%	<b>71.8</b> %	60.2%
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ess with		ow come l	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	64	.7%	e -	*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	54.7%	55.6%	51.5%	61	.7% (	6 <b>6.7</b> %	68.3%	75.3%	80.0%	55.8%	53.9%		

#### Perkins Measures - Nontraditional Program Enrollment Rate

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>10.7</b> %	<b>32.6</b> %	ŧ	*	*	+	+	10.8%	*	*	<b>‡</b>	10.4%	+
State	<b>30.7</b> %	45.9%	18.8%	0.0%	30.9%	28.6%	32.5%	28.9%	24.3%	37.7%	32.0%	31.2%	28.8%
					·			Non					,,

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth In Care
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	10.5%	*	*	100.0%	*	*	*
State	27.9%	34.1%	29.5%	31.9%	72.2%	32.3%	100.0%	21.4%	33.2%	40.2%

#### Perkins Measures - Program Quality - Attained Postsecondary Credits Rate

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	71.8%	74.5%	70.6%	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	74.4%	*	*	ŧ	70.6%	68.1%
State	43.8%	40.9%	46.2%	18.5%	46.5%	47.0%	37.5%	45.8%	*	44.7%	40.5%	44.2%	41.4%
	English Learners	Homele			_ow ncome	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	ıln	
District	68.8%	*	59.1	%	75.0%	*	*	63.2%	*	*	*		

45.8%

42.8%

50.0%

43.4%

35.6%

#### Perkins Measures - Program Quality - Work-Based Learning Rate

40.2%

40.6%

0.0%

33.9%

44.7%

State

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	+	+	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	11.7%	16.4%	7.9%	7.4%	7.9%	12.2%	11.8%	10.6%	*	18.4%	12.2%	12.0%	10.0%
	English	1	Stud	lents Lo	W	1	1	Non Traditional	Out of	Single	Youth	In	1

		Learners	Homeless	with IEPs	Income	Migrant	Military	Fields	Workforce	Parents	Care
C	District	ŧ	*	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*
S	State	<b>9.7</b> %	8.9%	9.7%	11.5%	<b>16.7</b> %	12.1%	13.1%	0.0%	19.0%	10.2%

CTE Partic	CTE Participant - Total Count of CTE Participants														
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities		
District	1,331	612	719	*	+	58	28	607	*	*	74	562	274		
State	285,732	127,994	157,572	166	684	14,250	34,879	68,141	477	235	11,640	155,426	51,864		
	English Learners	Homeles	Stude ss with ll			1igrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single e Parents	Yout Care				
District	187	12	155	36	2 *		*	37	*	*	ŧ				
State	27,252	6,699	34,82	1 120	0,302 7	6	2,596	37,950	26	1,039	1,033	;			

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Agri Food & Nat. Res.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	•	*	*	*
State	32,330	13,727	18,595	8	47	259	1,493	2,480	6	15	1,025	27,005	6,059
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ss with		Low Income Mig		Military	Non Traditional Military Fields		Single ce Parents	Youth Care	ıln	
District	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*		
State	762	1,001	4,682	2 12,	885 1	1	326	7,924	10	258	180		



	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	222	43	179	*	*	ŧ	+	117	*	*	15	83	60
State	42,822	9,605	33,197	20	99	1,664	4,061	8,774	107	30	1,727	26,360	8,417
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ss with		ow come	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workfor	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	46	\$	35	80	)	*	*	10	*	*	*		
State	3,621	927	6,051	17	,923	19	368	4,500	2	147	163		

CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Arts, AV, Tech & Comm.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	357	121	236	*	*	10	ŧ	142	*	*	29	167	78
State	31,133	12,309	18,798	26	68	1,198	4,279	7,078	57	26	1,501	16,926	6,271
	English Learners	Homele		lents Lo IEPs Ind		Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	42	ŧ	42	78	:	*	*	20	*	*	*		
State	3,104	696	4,26	13	638	19	385	3,605	3	67	138		

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Business Mgmt. & Admin.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	178	63	115	*	*	+	ŧ	70	*	*	12	85	40
State	72,045	30,455	41,554	36	180	3,884	9,336	14,073	126	63	3,269	41,114	11,073
	English Learners	Homeles	Stude ss with I			1igrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth I Care	n	
District	28	*	27	41	*		*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	6,173	1,642	7,126	29	121 3	51	685	9,718	8	217	319		

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Education & Training

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	129	106	23	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	77	*	*	ŧ	42	25
State	24,106	18,567	5,527	12	70	780	3,511	6,631	76	25	1,107	11,906	4,616
	English Learners	Homeles	Stude ss with I			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth I Care	n	
District	25	ŧ	12	42			*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	2,694	622	2,942	2 11,3	200 1	1	174	2,823	3	52	101		


#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Finance

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	an Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	623	303	320	*	+	28	15	294	*	*	31	253	127
State	64,164	26,806	37,327	31	141	4,022	7,300	12,524	148	60	2,805	37,164	9,421
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ess with		_ow ncome	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	108	ŧ	79	1	177	*	*	16	*	*	ŧ		
State	5,033	1,218	5,667	:	23,689	25	669	7,249	1	221	272		

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Govt. & Public Admin.


	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	352	165	187	*	*	2	66	31	*	1	23	229	77

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	13	14	56	243	*	9	53	*	*	3




#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Hospitality & Tourism

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	214	110	104	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	94	*	*	16	89	50
State	74,494	38,286	36,171	37	180	2,633	10,463	18,741	170	60	3,298	38,949	15,410
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ss with			igrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	28	ŧ	27	66	*		*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	7,599	1,814	11,09	5 32	,641 2	5	722	15,417	1	223	303		



#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Information Technology

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	106	24	82	*	*	14	ŧ	41	*	*	ŧ	43	26
State	46,980	17,281	29,658	41	121	3,129	6,186	9,955	103	36	2,004	25,446	8,311
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ss with			grant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workfor	Single rce Parents	Youth Care	ıln	
District	18	*	17	23	*		*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	4,636	1,130	5,849	21,	019 19		470	5,347	5	168	237		



#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Manufacturing

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	152	24	128	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	73	*	*	12	60	41
State	33,011	6,488	26,504	19	77	644	2,982	7,360	32	26	1,413	20,477	7,067
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ess with			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workfor	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	nIn	
District	28	+	26	51	1	ĸ	•	12	*	*	*		
State	3,123	708	5,24	6 14	,723	9	317	3,052	*	95	133		

\* indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Marketing



#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in STEM

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	an Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	218	37	181	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	105	*	*	15	86	45
State	24,725	6,075	18,634	16	68	2,375	2,481	6,686	32	18	1,022	12,043	4,325
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ess with		ow ncome	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workfor	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	ıln	
District	30	ŧ	27	6	2	*	*	14	*	*	*		
State	2,747	413	2,67	3 9	,341	1	127	2,467	*	50	65		

#### CTE Participant - Count of Students participating in Transp. Distr. & Logis.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	an Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	19	ŧ	17	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	*	ŧ	+
State	32,426	5,647	26,768	11	90	877	3,136	9,262	116	25	1,226	17,694	7,306
	English Learners	Homeles	Stude ss with l			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	ŧ	*	ŧ	ŧ		*	*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	4,245	715	5,456	15,	075	2	226	2,959	4	119	109		



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Agri Food & Nat. Res.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19,711	7,660	12,045	6	26	99	699	1,097	*	5	521	17,264	3,401
	English Learners	Homele	Stude ss with I			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	271	537	2,608	3 7,3	60	3	158	8,995	5	165	83		



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Arts, AV, Tech & Comm.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	53	14	39	*	*	ŧ	\$	16	*	*	ŧ	26	ŧ
State	7,605	2,988	4,609	8	16	321	811	1,818	10	8	348	4,273	1,623
	English Learners	Homele	Stuc ess with		ow come	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	+	ŧ	\$	12	2	*	*	14	*	*	*		

122

1,954

2

24

14

\* indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

522


State

135

1.020

3,010

3



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Education & Training

State	649	171	838	3,	186	*	43	1,717	1	21	16		
District	ŧ	*	ŧ	\$		*	*	+	*	*	*		
	English Learners	Homele			ow come	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth I Care	n	
State	7,609	6,977	630	2	17	244	775	2,210	14	7	292	4,050	1,491
District	25	23	ŧ	*	*	*	*	13	*	*	ŧ	11	+
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities


#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Finance

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	114	23	91	*	*	10	+	48	*	*	ŧ	48	34
State	12,117	4,034	8,081	2	20	1,084	981	2,154	23	9	439	7,407	1,338
	English Learners	Homele		ents Lo IEPs Ind		ligrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth I Care	n	
District	21	ŧ	25	28	*		*	<b>‡</b>	*	*	*		
State	631	147	625	3,2	724 4		86	3,714	2	52	15		

#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Govt. & Public Admin.


	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	160	69	91	*	*	2	30	19	*	1	5	103	64

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforce	Single Parents	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12	8	53	92	*	4	50	*	*	2



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Hospitality & Tourism


	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	*	*	*	+	*	*	*	ŧ	*
State	20,943	11,263	9,670	10	46	539	3,001	5,110	23	15	927	11,282	4,467
	English Learners	Homeles	Stude ss with I			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workford	Single e Parents	Youth Care	In	
District	*	*	*	ŧ		*	*	ŧ	*	*	*		
State	1,709	509	3,339	9,2	46	8	172	13,655	*	77	83		



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Information Technology

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	20	ŧ	18	*	*	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	\$	11
State	11,817	2,720	9,089	8	31	1,181	1,212	2,371	3	12	426	6,581	1,988
	English Learners	s Homel	Stud ess with			igrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workfor	Single ce Parents	Youth Care	In	


	Learners	Homeless	with IEPs	Income	Migrant	Military	Fields	Workforce	Parents	Care
District	ŧ	*	+	<b>‡</b>	*	*	ŧ	*	*	*
State	802	202	1,225	4,362	3	106	3,413	*	58	22



#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Manufacturing

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	17	*	17	*	*	*	*	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	11,389	1,415	9,970	4	19	179	720	2,579	6	9	409	7,468	2,458
	English Learners	Homele	Stud ss with			Migrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth I Care	n	
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	\$	a	•	*	*	*	*	*		
State	781	178	1,774	4,5	571 *	*	105	2,000	2	44	14		





#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in STEM

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	75	ŧ	66	*	*	ŧ	*	27	*	*	ŧ	39	16
State	9,311	2,010	7,296	5	27	1,311	659	2,569	5	10	335	4,395	1,351
	English Learners	Homele		lents Lo IEPs Inc		ligrant	Military	Non Traditional Fields	Out of Workforc	Single e Parents	Youth Care	n	
District	+	*	ŧ	15	*		•	10	*	*	*		

46

\*

13

17

2,264

\* indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

\*

2.785

671

State

110

593

#### CTE Concentrator - Count of Students concentrating in Transp. Distr. & Logis. Native Hawaiian/ Students Twoor Pacific American with Non More All Disabilities Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White \* \* \* \* \* \* \* **District** ŧ ± ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ 10 342 State 11,613 912 10,696 5 25 274 737 3,720 24 6,481 2,656 Non English Traditional Youth In Students Low Out of Single Learners Homeless with IEPs Migrant Military Fields Workforce Parents Care Income \* \* \* \* \* \* ŧ District ŧ ŧ ŧ \* 1,446 187 1,914 5,118 119 1,747 3 73 14 State

### **High School Graduation Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of graduating students who entered ninth grade for the first time four, five, or six years prior to the year being reported. Graduation Rate is calculated based on ESSA High School Graduation Rate guidance found here. Students are reported at the school where students attend (home school). The cohort is based on the number of students who enter Grade 9 for the first time, adjusted by adding into the cohort any student who transfers in later during Grade 9 or during the next three years and subtracting any student from the cohort who transfers out, emigrates to another country, transfers to a prison or juvenile facility, or dies during that same period.

4 Year													
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.7% 499	95.7% 242	93.8% 257	*	*	100.0% 17	‡ ‡	92.2% 236	*	*	94.1% 32	97.6% 206	89.0% 113
State	<b>87.7%</b> 130,309	<b>89.6%</b> 65,166	<b>85.9%</b> 65,055	<b>89.8%</b> 88	<b>74.5%</b> 263	<b>94.6%</b> 7,597	<b>80.7%</b> 19,318	<b>85.1%</b> 36,335	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>82.1%</b> 110	<b>85.4%</b> 4,755	<b>91.3%</b> 61,931	<b>79.5%</b> 26,479
	English Learners	Student with IEP		ne H	omeless l	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	85.5% 47	81.6% 62	91.0% 141	6 <b>+</b> +		*	*	‡ ‡					
State	<b>77.8%</b> 11,384	<b>72.5%</b> 15,723	<b>81.8%</b> 56,54			<b>65.0%</b> 13	<b>89.6%</b> 987	<b>57.6%</b> 548					

3% 91	01.1%	Male 87.5% 238	Non Binary *	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
			*									Disubilities
		200	*	‡ ‡	93.3% 28	91.7% 11	86.5% 211	*	*	96.9% 31	90.6% 202	83.2% 109
			<b>88.9%</b> 56	<b>86.2%</b> 288	<b>95.5%</b> 7,829	<b>82.6%</b> 19,618	<b>87.9%</b> 37,004	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>95.0%</b> 170	<b>86.2%</b> 4,364	<b>91.9%</b> 64,596	<b>81.4%</b> 26,819
glish arners			ie Ho	meless M	igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
.7%	74.4% 58	84.8% 117	‡ ‡	*		*	‡ ‡					
<b>.7%</b> 957	<b>75.6%</b> 16,769					<b>91.1%</b> 1,135	<b>60.7%</b> 569					
,8 gl ar .7	69 6 ish ners %	69 66,384 ish Students with IEPs % 74.4% 58 % 75.6%	69         66,384         67,429           ish ners         Students with IEPs         Low Incom           %         74.4%         84.8%           117         117           %         75.6%         83.7%	69     66,384     67,429     56       ish ners     Students with IEPs     Low Income     Ho       %     74.4%     84.8%     ‡       %     75.6%     83.7%     73.	69       66,384       67,429       56       288         ish ners       Students with IEPs       Low Income       Homeless       M         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *         %       75.6%       83.7%       73.4%       57	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829         ish ners       Students       Low       Homeless       Migrant         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *         %       75.6%       83.7%       73.4%       57.1%	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618         ish ners       Students       Low       Homeless       Migrant       Military         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *       *         %       75.6%       83.7%       73.4%       57.1%       91.1%	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618       37,004         ish ners       Students       Low       Income       Homeless       Migrant       Military       Youth In Care         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       ‡       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *       *       *       *       *       *       ‡       ‡       *	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618       37,004       0         ish ners       Students       Low       Income       Homeless       Migrant       Military       Youth In Care         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *       *       *       ‡         %       75.6%       83.7%       73.4%       57.1%       91.1%       60.7%	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618       37,004       0       170         ish ners       Students       Low       Homeless       Migrant       Military       Youth In Care       Youth In Care         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       *       *       *       ‡       *	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618       37,004       0       170       4,364         ish ners       Students income       Low income       Homeless       Migrant       Military       Youth In Care       Youth In Care         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       •       •       •       ‡         %       75.6%       83.7%       73.4%       57.1%       91.1%       60.7%	69       66,384       67,429       56       288       7,829       19,618       37,004       0       170       4,364       64,596         ish ners       Students       Low       Income       Homeless       Migrant       Military       Youth In Care         %       74.4%       84.8%       ‡       .

### High School Graduation Rate (cont)

6 Year

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	93.2% 496	96.0% 239	90.8% 257	*	‡ ‡	96.6% 28	‡ ‡	91.3% 232	*	*	97.0% 32	94.2% 195	86.4% 114
State	<b>89.6%</b> 135,907	<b>91.6%</b> 67,791	<b>87.7%</b> 68,098	<b>85.7%</b> 18	<b>83.9%</b> 281	<b>95.7%</b> 7,823	<b>83.0%</b> 19,907	<b>88.2%</b> 36,195	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>89.7%</b> 131	<b>87.0%</b> 4,382	<b>92.1%</b> 67,188	<b>81.9%</b> 25,777
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income Homeless Migrant N					Military	Youth In Care						
District	82.8% 48	81.5% 75	92.9% 144	6 :		*	*	‡ ‡					
State	<b>82.0%</b> 8,812	<b>77.1%</b> 17,062	<b>83.6%</b> 53,83		<b>73.3%</b> 5,428	<b>83.3%</b> 20	<b>90.8%</b> 1,068	<b>59.6%</b> 551					

### **Postsecondary Enrollment**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who graduated with a regular high school diploma from a public high school in Illinois and enrolled in a two-year or four-year college in the United States within 12 or 16 months.

12 Month Enrollme	2 Month Enrollment											
	Enrolling in College	Enrolling in Public School	Enrolling in Private School	Enrolling in University	Enrolling in Community College	Enrolling in Trade/ Vocational Schools						
District	72.7%	56.6%	16.1%	47.1%	25.6%	0.0%						
State	66.1%	50.9%	15.3%	40.6%	25.5%	0.0%						


#### 16 Month Enrollment

	Enrolling in College	Enrolling in Public School	Enrolling in Private School	Enrolling in University	Enrolling in Community College	Enrolling in Trade/ Vocational Schools
District	73.4%	57.2%	16.1%	<b>47.</b> 1%	26.2%	0.0%
State	66.8%	51.5%	15.3%	40.7%	26.1%	0.0%

# **District Environment**

## About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



### **Early Learning**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarte	n Individual Dev % of Entering Kindergartners	•	pmental Survey (KIDS) Results – Overall of Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness by Developmental Area										
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	ln 0 Developmental Areas	ln 1 Developmental Area	ln 2 Developmental Areas	ln All 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	90.9%	35.3%	16.3%	16.8%	31.6%	58.4%	49.2%	<b>37</b> .1%					

#### % Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>31.6</b> %	25.4%	<b>36.7</b> %	<b>25.8</b> %	20.3%	*	<b>32.9</b> %	33.3%	<b>39.3</b> %	16.3%	14.6%	16.3%	22.7%

	Homeless
District	*
State	18.1%

### **School Level Finances**

#### What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level Per Pupil Expenditures				District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures			
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
District	2,279	\$991	\$13,812	\$14,802	\$8	\$6,536	\$6,544	\$999	\$20,347	\$21,347	\$7,921,183	\$56,559,369

### **School Level Finances (cont)**

		Site level Pe	r Pupil Expendi	itures	District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures		
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total
Mundelein Cons High School	2,279	\$991	\$13,812	\$14,802	\$8	\$6,536	\$6,544	\$999	\$20,347	\$21,347

### **District Finances**

#### What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Sourc	Revenue By Source										
	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue					
District	78.7% \$39,000,820	9.6% \$4,738,323	4.7% \$2,311,171	3.4% \$1,703,270	3.7% \$1,816,290	\$49,569,874					
State	58.1%	5.9%	19.7%	4.2%	12.2%	*					

#### **Expenditure By Function**

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	47.4%	2.4%	32.1%	18.1%
State	46.1%	2.3%	30.8%	20.9%

#### Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	72.4% \$37,964,285	6.3% \$3,331,564	5.7% \$2,977,059	12.0% \$6,282,273	0.5% \$245,202	2.7% \$1,414,583	0.0% \$0	0.5% \$251,826	\$52,466,792
State	70.4%	7.7%	3.9%	7.0%	1.2%	1.7%	0.3%	7.7%	*

### **District Finances (cont)**

#### **Other Financial Indicators**

	2021 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2021 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2022-23 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2022-23 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$771,770	2.5	\$12,537	\$22,490
State	*	*	\$11,078	\$18,905

### **Average Class Size**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	Grade9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12	Overall
District	19	16	13	13	26
State	17	14	13	12	21

### **Total School Days**

#### What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	176
State	176

### **Health and Wellness**

#### What is it?

Days PE per week: This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

Truant Minor Count: The table below reports the count of the number of truant minors in the district in the previous school year.

Truant Minors are defined as chronic truants to whom supportive services, including prevention, diagnostic, intervention and remedial services, alternative programs and other school and community.

#### Days PE per week

	Days PE per week
District	5
State	4

### Health and Wellness (cont)


### Truant Minor Count

District	139
State	167,463



## About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### **Student Enrollment**

#### What is it?

\_\_\_\_

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgro	Ali	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0%	49.2%	50.8%	0.0%	‡	3.9%	2.4%	48.6%	0.0%	‡	5.5%	39.4%	22.5%
	2,241	1,103	1,138	*	‡	88	54	1,090	*	‡	124	883	505
State	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>
	1,851,290	899,170	951,463	657	4,580	103,838	305,129	519,576	7	1,693	78,523	837,944	376,166
	English Learners	Student with IEF			ormer . H	lomeless	Migrant	Military	Never E	Youth I L Care	n		

District	17.6%	14.1%	28.7%	27.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	‡
	395	315	644	624	13	*	*	1,222	‡
State	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>75.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
	303,166	295,285	922,067	152,571	47,220	441	14,692	1,395,553	13,111

#### **By Grades**

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	594	544	556	547
State	149,427	150,263	146,651	144,580

### **Advanced Academic Programs**

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated: The percentage of students who are Identified as Accelerated. Assessing a student for placement in an Advanced Academic Program may include assessment of a student as accelerated, either whole grade or in a combination of one or more subjects.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated by Demographics: The percentage of students who Are Identified as Accelerated, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams: This shows the cumulative total number of AP exams taken and the cumulative total number of AP exams receiving a score of 3.0 or more. Upon completion of an AP course, students may choose to take the corresponding AP Exam. Illinois state law PA 99-0358 provides that a student who takes a College Board Advanced Placement examination and receives a score of 3 or higher on the examination is entitled to receive postsecondary level course credit at an Illinois public institution of higher education.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework: Advanced Academic Coursework includes courses in a variety of categories, all of which may or may not be offered within an individual school or district: Advanced Placement Coursework, IB Coursework, Enriched of Honors Coursework, and Dual-Credit Coursework.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework – Grade and Demographics: The percentage of students who are enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework, allowing the totals to be broken down by type of coursework, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	70.5% 1,623	76.7% 861	64.6% 762	*	‡ ‡	85.9% 79	35.7% 20	61.8% 696	*	‡ ‡	76.3% 100	81.5% 726	44.7% 232
State	<b>9.7%</b> 186,357	<b>10.0%</b> 93,530	<b>9.3%</b> 92,604	<b>12.9%</b> 223	<b>8.5%</b> 395	<b>24.8%</b> 26,830	<b>2.9%</b> 9,360	<b>7.4%</b> 40,620	<b>24.0%</b> 609	<b>9.9%</b> 167	<b>9.7%</b> 8,363	<b>11.7%</b> 100,013	<b>4.8%</b> 18,478
	English Learners	Studer with IE				Youth In Care							
District	39.7% 168	24.0% 79	56.2 388			‡ ‡							
State	<b>3.2%</b> 10,687	<b>2.1%</b> 6,270	<b>4.6%</b> 44,3			<b>1.2%</b> 168							

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - ELA - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	5.6% 130	6.1% 69	5.2% 61	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	5.3% 60	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	6.5% 58	8.1% 42
State	<b>0.9%</b> 17,567	<b>1.2%</b> 11,004	<b>0.7%</b> 6,536	<b>1.6%</b> 27	<b>0.8%</b> 37	<b>0.8%</b> 855	<b>0.4%</b> 1,211	<b>0.7%</b> 3,856	<b>0.6%</b> 16	<b>0.6%</b> 10	<b>0.9%</b> 773	<b>1.3%</b> 10,809	<b>0.5%</b> 1,966

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	4.0%	6.4%	5.9%	‡	‡
	17	21	41	‡	‡
State	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
	792	828	5,592	264	53

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Math - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.8% 41	1.0% 11	2.5% 30	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	1.8% 20	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	1.9% 17	‡ ‡
State	<b>1.8%</b> 34,975	<b>1.5%</b> 13,797	<b>2.1%</b> 21,127	<b>3.0%</b> 51	<b>1.3%</b> 61	<b>5.7%</b> 6,130	<b>0.3%</b> 904	<b>0.9%</b> 4,790	<b>1.1%</b> 29	<b>1.8%</b> 30	<b>2.1%</b> 1,773	<b>2.5%</b> 21,258	<b>0.9%</b> 3,279
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			Homeless	Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	1.4% 10		‡ ‡	‡ ‡							
State	<b>0.5%</b> 1,609	<b>0.4%</b> 1,230	<b>0.6%</b> 6,02		<b>0.3%</b> 170	<b>0.1%</b> 18							

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Single Subject Other than ELA, Math or Science - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	10.2% 235	11.4% 128	9.1% 107	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	16.0% 180	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	5.3% 47	7.5% 39
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,656	<b>1.3%</b> 12,012	<b>1.3%</b> 12,629	<b>0.9%</b> 15	<b>2.2%</b> 100	<b>1.4%</b> 1,500	<b>0.5%</b> 1,585	<b>1.7%</b> 9,615	<b>4.9%</b> 124	<b>0.9%</b> 16	<b>1.0%</b> 869	<b>1.3%</b> 10,847	<b>1.0%</b> 3,759

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Military	Youth In Care
District	24.3% 103	36.4% 12	8.5% 28	17.5% 121	*	‡ ‡
State	<b>1.3%</b> 4,443	<b>0.7%</b> 474	<b>0.6%</b> 1,927	<b>0.9%</b> 8,937	*	<b>0.2%</b> 30

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Mutiple Subjects, but not Whole Grade - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	52.5% 1,209	57.9% 650	47.4% 559	*	‡ ‡	80.4% 74	25.0% 14	38.4% 432	*	‡ ‡	65.6% 86	67.5% 601	27.0% 140
State	<b>5.4%</b> 104,119	<b>5.8%</b> 54,024	<b>5.0%</b> 49,971	<b>7.2%</b> 124	<b>4.2%</b> 193	<b>16.5%</b> 17,848	<b>1.6%</b> 5,055	<b>3.8%</b> 20,776	<b>17.2%</b> 437	<b>6.0%</b> 102	<b>5.5%</b> 4,750	<b>6.4%</b> 54,958	<b>2.4%</b> 9,012
	English Learners	Studen with IEI				Youth In Care							
District	8.7% 37	7.9% 26	31.0° 214	% :		‡ ‡							
State	<b>1.0%</b> 3,336	<b>0.7%</b> 2,112	<b>2.3%</b> 22,4			<b>0.4%</b> 54							

#### Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Whole Grade Acceleration - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>0.1%</b> 2,145	<b>0.1%</b> 1,207	<b>0.1%</b> 932	<b>0.3%</b> 6	<b>0.0%</b> 2	<b>0.3%</b> 273	<b>0.1%</b> 417	<b>0.2%</b> 833	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.2%</b> 4	<b>0.1%</b> 99	<b>0.1%</b> 517	<b>0.0%</b> 96

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
	241	51	422	24	8

#### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary		rican	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	35.8% 824	40.6% 455	31.3% 369	*	‡ ‡		62.0% 57	23.2% 13	26.5% 298	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	46.6% 61	44.1% 393	26.2% 136
State	<b>24.2%</b> 146,564	<b>27.5%</b> 81,086	<b>21.0%</b> 65,343	<b>29.3%</b> 135	<b>20.2</b> 307	.%	<b>54.9%</b> 18,113	<b>14.1%</b> 13,985	<b>22.5%</b> 40,125	<b>28.3%</b> 278	<b>32.4%</b> 198	<b>25.2%</b> 5,892	<b>25.0%</b> 67,666	<b>11.7%</b> 14,446
	English Learners	Student with IEF			Homeles		outh In are							
District	13.5% 57	19.1% 63	26.7 <sup>°</sup> 184	%	‡ ‡	‡ ‡								
State	<b>9.7%</b> 7,479	<b>3.4%</b> 2,984	<b>16.4</b> 9 47,20		<b>8.0%</b> 1,619		<b>.2%</b> )4							

#### Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	47.6% 1,095	45.5% 510	49.6% 585	*	‡ ‡	46.7% 43	44.6% 25	44.5% 501	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	48.1% 63	51.7% 461	41.4% 215
State	<b>16.4%</b> 99,797	<b>16.9%</b> 49,774	<b>16.0%</b> 49,965	<b>12.6%</b> 58	<b>11.5%</b> 175	<b>19.3%</b> 6,358	<b>10.8%</b> 10,646	<b>13.1%</b> 23,343	<b>21.3%</b> 209	<b>15.5%</b> 95	<b>15.3%</b> 3,587	<b>20.5%</b> 55,384	<b>10.9%</b> 13,542

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	34.8%	35.9%	43.2%	‡	‡
	147	118	298	‡	‡
State	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
	6,220	7,028	34,431	1,693	165

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	62.3% 1,433	68.3% 766	56.6% 667	*	‡ ‡	76.1% 70	35.7% 20	54.4% 612	*	*	67.2% 88	72.1% 642	35.8% 186
State	<b>52.3%</b> 317,614	<b>57.1%</b> 168,338	<b>47.9%</b> 149,00	5 <b>58.8%</b>	<b>49.1%</b> 747	<b>78.8%</b> 26,000	<b>41.2%</b> 40,708	<b>51.7%</b> 92,014	<b>50.7%</b> 497	<b>60.4%</b> 369	<b>52.8%</b> 12,355	<b>53.6%</b> 144,924	<b>32.3%</b> 39,983
	English Learners	Studer with IE		.ow ncome	Homeless	Youth In Care							
District	35.0% 148	18.2% 60		0.0% 45	57.6% 19	*							
State	<b>34.8%</b> 26,856	<b>19.4%</b> 16,953		<b>•2.4%</b> 22,489	<b>28.3%</b> 5,726	<b>16.8%</b> 542							

#### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>1.2%</b> 7,572	<b>1.5%</b> 4,466	<b>1.0%</b> 3,104	<b>0.4%</b> 2	<b>1.4%</b> 21	<b>1.4%</b> 447	<b>1.8%</b> 1,806	<b>2.0%</b> 3,583	<b>0.1%</b> 1	<b>2.6%</b> 16	<b>0.8%</b> 194	<b>0.6%</b> 1,504	<b>0.8%</b> 1,030

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
	697	323	4,843	206	16

State	17,656	10,830	16,715	10,160							
District	10	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>							
	Number of AP Exams Taken	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams							
Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams - Grade 9											

Orreade O

### Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams - Grade 10

	Number of AP Exams Taken	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams
District	138	120	122	107
State	44,080	31,204	26,027	18,959

#### Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams - Grade 11

	Number of AP Exams Taken 583 124,858	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams
District	583	438	207	165
State	124,858	83,994	43,339	30,713

#### Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams - Grade 12

	Number of AP Exams Taken	Number of AP Exams Passed	Number of Students Took One or More AP Exams	Number of Students Passed One or More AP Exams
District	976	719	206	193
State	183,430	123,825	40,583	30,794

#### Students Taking Early College Courses

		Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
C	District	292	352	462	436
5	State	24,796	37,324	70,679	80,440



### Advanced Placement (AP) Coursework - Grade 9 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	83	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	41	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	30	28
State	17,583	46	2,594	2,162	4,873	53	30	731	7,094	1,532
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	18	22	24	ŧ						
State	756	325	6,231	22						

### Advanced Placement (AP) Coursework - Grade 10 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	184	ŧ	19	ŧ	60	ŧ	ŧ	13	88	28
State	28,654	51	4,197	2,460	7,649	129	48	1,194	12,926	2,522
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	16	12	38	ŧ						
State	1,501	427	8,870	19						



### Advanced Placement (AP) Coursework - Grade 11 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	269	ŧ	17	ŧ	84	ŧ	ŧ	19	145	44
State	49,613	133	5,610	4,854	13,882	96	64	2,006	22,968	4,806
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	14	18	58	ŧ						
State	2,837	977	16,136	41						

#### Advanced Placement (AP) Coursework - Grade 12 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	288	ŧ	16	ŧ	113	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	26	130	36
State	50,714	77	5,712	4,509	13,721	0	56	1,961	24,678	5,586
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care	_	_				
District	ŧ	11	64	+						
State	2,385	1,255	16,027	22						



### Dual Credit Coursework - Grade 9 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	247	<b>‡</b>	14	ŧ	88	ŧ	ŧ	16	122	35
State	7,873	17	485	1,735	1,955	30	7	265	3,379	1,046
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	19	16	47	ŧ						
State	767	677	3,173	22						

#### Dual Credit Coursework - Grade 10 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	234	+	11	ŧ	114	<b>‡</b>	+	12	90	49
State	11,691	21	822	1,301	3,050	106	13	432	5,946	1,687
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	48	33	67	ŧ						
State	1,172	960	4,316	32						



### Dual Credit Coursework - Grade 11 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	320	+	10	ŧ	164	+	+	18	120	70
State	30,348	59	1,776	2,748	6,654	72	28	1,129	17,882	4,003
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	55	44	98	ŧ						
State	1,816	2,101	10,197	51						

### Dual Credit Coursework - Grade 12 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	294	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	135	<b>‡</b>	+	17	129	61
State	49,885	78	3,275	4,862	11,684	1	47	1,761	28,177	6,806
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care	_	_				
District	25	25	86	+						
State	2,465	3,290	16,745	60						



### International Baccalaureate (IB) Coursework - Grade 9 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	285	0	29	125	26	0	1	26	78	31
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ						
State	10	14	116	6						

#### International Baccalaureate (IB) Coursework - Grade 10 - By Demographics

	AII	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	186	0	11	99	36	0	0	5	35	23
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+						
State	9	9	109	0						



### International Baccalaureate (IB) Coursework - Grade 11 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	3,792	13	200	892	1,868	1	11	83	724	531
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	+						
State	432	191	2,486	5						

#### International Baccalaureate (IB) Coursework - Grade 12 - By Demographics

	All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	3,309	8	207	690	1,653	0	4	80	667	445
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Youth In Care						
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ						
State	246	109	2,132	5						

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>10.9%</b> 210,029	<b>10.9%</b> 102,148	<b>10.8%</b> 107,618	<b>15.2%</b> 263	<b>13.1%</b> 609	<b>25.5%</b> 27,603	<b>6.7%</b> 21,672	<b>8.9%</b> 49,106	<b>9.1%</b> 231	<b>14.6%</b> 247	<b>12.6%</b> 10,835	<b>11.7%</b> 99,726	<b>9.6%</b> 36,640
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ne He		Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		ŧ ŧ							
State	<b>8.1%</b> 27,120	<b>8.1%</b> 24,250	<b>7.9%</b> 75,98			<b>5.7%</b> 830							

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,286	<b>1.2%</b> 11,650	<b>1.3%</b> 12,539	<b>5.6%</b> 97	<b>1.7%</b> 77	<b>4.2%</b> 4,503	<b>0.6%</b> 2,057	<b>0.8%</b> 4,442	<b>0.7%</b> 19	<b>1.7%</b> 29	<b>1.5%</b> 1,258	<b>1.4%</b> 11,901	<b>0.8%</b> 3,235

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	*	<b>0.6%</b>	*	*	*
	1,641	1,669	5,810	176	31

20,218

450

60

#### Students Identified As Gifted - By Demographics

2,868

2,723

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>4.3%</b> 82,264	<b>4.3%</b> 39,778	<b>4.3%</b> 42,329	<b>9.1%</b> 157	<b>4.4%</b> 204	<b>16.8%</b> 18,205	<b>1.9%</b> 6,169	<b>2.7%</b> 14,678	<b>3.2%</b> 82	<b>8.0%</b> 135	<b>5.2%</b> 4,475	<b>4.5%</b> 38,316	<b>2.4%</b> 9,053
	English Learners	Studen with IEI				Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	:	‡ ‡							
State	0.9%	0.9%	2.1%	0.	7%	0.4%							

#### Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers - By Demographics Native Hawaiian/ Students Twoor Pacific with Non American More All Disabilities Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White **District** ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ \* \* ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ 0.8% 0.7% 0.8% 5.1% 1.0% 3.3% 0.3% 0.4% 0.2% 1.2% 1.0% 0.8% 0.4% State 14,561 6,794 88 3,571 1,112 2,057 6 20 834 7,679 45 6,916 1,436 English Students Youth In Low Learners with IEPs Care Income Homeless **District** ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ ŧ 0.1% 0.2% 0.3% 0.1% 0.0% State 356 467 2,738 50 7

### **Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

		American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White
District	All Students	*	0.2%	0.5%	9.1%	*	*	0.7%	4.3%
	Students with IEPs	*	1.5%	3.3%	61.1%	*	*	4.8%	29.2%
All Peer	All Students	*	3.2%	10.7%	7.8%	*	*	5.9%	6.6%
Districts	Students with IEPs	*	3.2%	19.1%	30.0%	*	*	3.8%	43.6%
State	All Students	*	0.5%	3.2%	4.7%	*	*	0.7%	7.1%
	Students	*	3.0%	19.6%	28.8%	*	*	4.5%	43.8%

#### **By Disability Category**

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	1.1%	0.0%	*	*	1.8%	0.3%	0.9%
	Students with IEPs	7.5%	0.3%	*	*	12.3%	1.8%	6.0%
All Peer	All Students	1.0%	0.0%	*	*	0.9%	0.1%	0.6%
Districts	Students with IEPs	13.3%	0.2%	*	*	12.3%	0.9%	8.4%
State	All Students	2.0%	0.0%	*	*	0.8%	0.1%	0.7%
	Students with IEPs	12.2%	0.2%	*	*	5.1%	0.7%	4.4%



### Students With IEPs (cont)

#### By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	<b>All Students</b>	0.2%	*	2.6%	7.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	Students with IEPs	1.2%	*	17.8%	<b>49.1%</b>	3.3%	0.3%	0.3%
All Peer	All Students	0.1%	*	1.4%	3.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Districts	Students with IEPs	1.8%	*	19.5%	41.3%	1.4%	0.3%	0.4%
State	All Students	0.2%	*	2.2%	5.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Students with IEPs	1.0%	*	13.7%	31.4%	16.3%	0.2%	0.3%

## **Students**

### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6-21)

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population

• In a separate facility

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within								
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility				
All	All							
District	37.7%	50.0%	5.4%	6.9%				
All Peer Districts	40.2%	31.9%	14.5%	13.4%				
State	54.5%	25.6%	13.3%	6.7%				
American Indian	American Indian							
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*				
Asian								
District	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts	1.1%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%				
State	1.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%				



### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6–21) (cont)

#### By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population

By Race/ Ethnicity - Withir	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
Black				
District	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%
All Peer Districts	5.9%	6.9%	3.2%	3.1%
State	9.0%	6.0%	3.4%	1.7%
Hispanic	1	I	I	1
District	20.8%	34.0%	3.6%	2.7%
All Peer Districts	12.1%	10.3%	4.2%	3.3%
State	15.7%	7.5%	4.0%	1.5%
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races				
District	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	1.2%
All Peer Districts	1.4%	1.2%	0.6%	0.5%
State	2.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.3%
White				
District	13.9%	11.1%	1.8%	2.4%
All Peer Districts	19.5%	12.4%	5.9%	5.8%
State	25.6%	10.4%	4.7%	2.9%



### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6-21) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity - Withir	By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility				
All	All							
District	37.7%	50.0%	5.4%	6.9%				
All Peer Districts	40.2%	31.9%	14.5%	13.4%				
State	54.5%	25.6%	13.3%	6.7%				
American Indian								
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	49.2%	27.8%	15.0%	7.9%				
Asian								
District	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	+	<b>‡</b>				
All Peer Districts	36.0%	27.1%	17.0%	19.8%				
State	53.2%	17.6%	21.8%	7.5%				
Black								
District	27.3%	54.5%	0.0%	18.2%				
All Peer Districts	30.7%	36.0%	17.0%	16.3%				
State	44.8%	29.9%	16.8%	8.5%				
Hispanic								
District	34.0%	55.7%	5.9%	4.4%				
All Peer Districts	40.5%	34.4%	14.1%	10.9%				
State	54.8%	26.1%	13.8%	5.3%				
MENA								
District	*	*	*	*				
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*				
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%				



### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6–21) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group						
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	Islander					
District	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*		
State	50.0%	22.6%	16.5%	10.8%		
Two or More Races	Two or More Races					
District	31.3%	43.8%	0.0%	25.0%		
All Peer Districts	38.3%	32.4%	15.2%	14.0%		
State	54.5%	23.7%	13.8%	8.0%		
White						
District	47.4%	38.1%	6.2%	8.2%		
All Peer Districts	44.7%	28.5%	13.4%	13.4%		
State	58.8%	23.9%	10.8%	6.6%		

#### For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Autism							
District	1.5%	3.9%	1.2%	0.9%			
All Peer Districts	3.1%	3.5%	3.2%	3.5%			
State	3.4%	2.6%	4.1%	2.0%			
Emotional Disability							
District	3.3%	4.5%	1.2%	3.3%			
All Peer Districts	4.0%	2.8%	1.5%	3.9%			
State	2.0%	1.1%	0.7%	1.7%			



### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6–21) (cont)

### For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Intellectual Disability							
District	0.0%	4.8%	0.9%	0.3%			
All Peer Districts	0.2%	2.1%	3.9%	2.2%			
State	0.2%	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%			
Other Health Impairment							
District	7.5%	8.7%	0.3%	1.2%			
All Peer Districts	10.4%	5.8%	1.9%	1.5%			
State	8.6%	4.1%	1.4%	0.8%			
Specific Learning Disabil	ity						
District	21.7%	25.3%	1.2%	0.9%			
All Peer Districts	20.2%	16.8%	3.1%	1.1%			
State	19.3%	13.1%	1.8%	0.5%			
Speech or Language Impairment							
District	2.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts	1.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%			
State	14.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%			

#### For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Autism							
District	20.0%	52.0%	16.0%	12.0%			
All Peer Districts	23.6%	26.1%	23.9%	26.4%			
State	28.1%	21.8%	34.0%	16.1%			



### Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6-21) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group							
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility			
Emotional Disability							
District	26.8%	36.6%	9.8%	26.8%			
All Peer Districts	32.9%	23.1%	12.3%	31.7%			
State	36.0%	20.0%	12.8%	31.2%			
Intellectual Disability							
District	0.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%			
All Peer Districts	2.1%	25.6%	46.2%	26.2%			
State	3.7%	30.3%	49.0%	17.0%			
Other Health Impairment	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
District	42.4%	49.2%	1.7%	6.8%			
District All Peer Districts	<b>42.4%</b> 53.1%	<b>49.2%</b> 29.6%	1.7% 9.6%	6.8% 7.7%			
All Peer Districts	53.1% 57.8%	29.6%	9.6%	7.7%			
All Peer Districts State	53.1% 57.8%	29.6%	9.6%	7.7%			
All Peer Districts State Specific Learning Disabili	53.1% 57.8% ty	29.6% 27.4%	9.6% 9.1%	7.7% 5.6%			
All Peer Districts State Specific Learning Disabili District	53.1% 57.8% ty 44.2%	29.6% 27.4% 51.5%	9.6% 9.1% 2.5%	7.7% 5.6% 1.8%			
All Peer Districts State Specific Learning Disabili District All Peer Districts	53.1% 57.8% ty 44.2% 49.0% 55.6%	29.6% 27.4% 51.5% 40.7%	9.6% 9.1% 2.5% 7.6%	7.7% 5.6% 1.8% 2.8%			
All Peer Districts State Specific Learning Disabili District All Peer Districts State	53.1% 57.8% ty 44.2% 49.0% 55.6%	29.6% 27.4% 51.5% 40.7%	9.6% 9.1% 2.5% 7.6%	7.7% 5.6% 1.8% 2.8%			
All Peer Districts State Specific Learning Disabili District All Peer Districts State Speech or Language Impa	53.1% 57.8% ity 44.2% 49.0% 55.6% airment	29.6% 27.4% 51.5% 40.7% 37.8%	9.6% 9.1% 2.5% 7.6% 5.3%	7.7%         5.6%         1.8%         2.8%         1.3%			

## **Students**

### Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3–5)

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

#### By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population

Regular Farly Childhood Pr						
Regular Early Childhood Program						
Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider		
ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	+		
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
53.8%	15.4%	25.3%	0.1%	5.4%		
*	*	*	*	*		
*	*	*	*	*		
*	*	*	*	*		
Asian						
ŧ	<b>‡</b>	ŧ	ŧ	+		
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
2.9%	0.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.3%		
	nside EC Program	nside EC Program       Qutside EC Program         1       1         0.0%       0.0%         53.8%       15.4%         15.4%       *         1 <td>nside EC Program       Outside EC Program       Separate Class/ Facility         1       1       1         0.0%       0.0%       0.0%         53.8%       15.4%       25.3%         1       1       1     <td>nside EC Program         Outside EC Program         Separate Class/ Facility         Home           #         #         #         #         #           0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           53.8%         15.4%         25.3%         0.1%           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *</td></td>	nside EC Program       Outside EC Program       Separate Class/ Facility         1       1       1         0.0%       0.0%       0.0%         53.8%       15.4%       25.3%         1       1       1 <td>nside EC Program         Outside EC Program         Separate Class/ Facility         Home           #         #         #         #         #           0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           53.8%         15.4%         25.3%         0.1%           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *</td>	nside EC Program         Outside EC Program         Separate Class/ Facility         Home           #         #         #         #         #           0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           53.8%         15.4%         25.3%         0.1%           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *           *         *         *         *		



### By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population

	Regular Early Childhood F	Program			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Black					
District	+	ŧ	+	+	+
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	7.3%	2.2%	4.9%	0.0%	0.3%
Hispanic					
District	ŧ	+	+	+	<b>‡</b>
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	17.8%	2.5%	8.2%	0.0%	1.0%
MENA					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pa	cific Islander				
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races					
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	2.6%	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.2%



### By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Total Population

	Regular Early Childhood Program							
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
White	White							
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ			
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
State	23.0%	9.3%	9.4%	0.1%	3.6%			

### By Race/ Ethnicity - Within Demographic Group

	Regular Early Childhood Program				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
All					
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	53.8%	15.4%	25.3%	0.1%	5.4%
American Indian					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.4%	14.9%	25.7%	0.0%	4.1%
Asian					
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	56.0%	8.9%	29.5%	0.1%	5.6%
Black					
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	49.5%	15.0%	33.5%	0.1%	2.0%



B١	/ Race/	Ethnicity -	Within	<b>Demographic Group</b>	
	110007			Dennegraphile ereap	

	Regular Early Childhood Program					
	Majority of Services	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
Hispanic				nome		
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	60.4%	8.3%	27.9%	0.0%	3.3%	
MENA	1					
District	*	*	•	*	*	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian/ Pa	acific Islander					
District	*	*	*	*	*	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	41.2%	11.8%	23.5%	0.0%	23.5%	
Two or More Races						
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	52.1%	18.8%	24.1%	0.1%	4.9%	



For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population						
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
Autism						
District	+	+	+	+	+	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	4.5%	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%	0.1%	
Developmental Delay						
District	*	*	*	*	*	
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	
Emotional Disability						
District	+	+	+	+	+	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Intellectual Disability						
District	+	+	+	+	+	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other Health Impairm	ent					
District	+	+	+	+	+	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	1.1%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	



For Selected Disabilities - Within Total Population
---

	Regular Early Childhood	Regular Early Childhood Program				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
Specific Learning Disability						
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Speech or Languag	e Impairment					
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
State	18.9%	9.3%	0.7%	0.0%	4.8%	

#### For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group

	Regular Early Childhood Program				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Autism					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Developmental Delay					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*



For Selected Disabilities - Within Disability Group							
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram					
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider		
Emotional Disability							
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*		
Intellectual Disability							
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*		
Other Health Impairm	ent						
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*		
Specific Learning Dis	sability						
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*		
Speech or Language Impairment							
District	*	*	*	*	*		
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*		

### **State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/General-Supervision.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2023-24 District Data	2023-24 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	91.67	82.6	Yes
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	4.17	13.0	Yes
3ae4	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4	*	95.0	N/A
3ae8	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8	*	95.0	N/A
3ae11	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11	88.24	95.0	No
3am4	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4	*	95.0	N/A
3am8	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8	*	95.0	N/A
3am11	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11	86.76	95.0	No
3be4	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	11.0	N/A
3be8	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	8.0	N/A
3be11	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against grade level academic achievement standards	10.34	8.0	Yes
3bm4	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	12.0	N/A
3bm8	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against grade level academic achievement standards	*	6.5	N/A
3bm11	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against grade level academic achievement standards	5.26	7.0	No
3ce4	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	15.0	N/A
3ce8	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	23.5	N/A

### State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2023-24 District Data	2023-24 State Target	District Met Target
3ce11	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against alternative academic achievement standards	50.00	22.5	Yes
3cm4	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 4, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	20.5	N/A
3cm8	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 8, against alternative academic achievement standards	*	5.5	N/A
3cm11	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs, Grade 11, against alternative academic achievement standards	0.00	4.0	No
3de4	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 4 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	25.5	N/A
3de8	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 8 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	31.5	N/A
3de11	Gap in reading proficiency rates for grade 11 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	25.46	28.0	Yes
3dm4	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 4 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	21.5	N/A
3dm8	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 8 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	26.0	N/A
3dm11	Gap in math proficiency rates for grade 11 students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	21.79	27.5	Yes
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	*	No	*
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 (and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	35.0	53.3	No
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	*	47.5	N/A
7a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	*	84	N/A
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	*	47.5	N/A
7b1 ndicates non	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and reported the tindicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of gro	* ups 10 or grea	<b>84.5</b> ter.	N/A

### State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2023-24 District Data	2023-24 State Target	District Met Target
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	*	45.5	N/A
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	*	86.0	N/A
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	*	53.5	N/A
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	*	73.0	N/A
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	Νο	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?		Νο	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation		100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	*	100	N/A
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.00	100	Yes
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	ŧ	30.0	No
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	+	66.0	No
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	ŧ	78.25	Yes

### **English Learners**

#### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

### EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	EL Exit Student Rate	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	100.0% 307	‡ ‡	* 320
State	*	<b>100.0%</b> 268,275	<b>6.2%</b> 16,723	* 62,087



### **Student Attendance**

#### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.0%	93.8%	94.1%	*	91.4%	96.5%	<b>94.0</b> %	93.2%	100.0%	92.6%	<b>93.1%</b>	94.8%	92.8%
State	91.6%	91.6%	91.7%	92.2%	90.3%	94.0%	88.6%	90.1%	92.8%	91.3%	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care
District	92.5%	92.5%	92.9%	91.0%	*	*	89.4%
State	90.4%	89.8%	89.6%	84.6%	89.9%	92.8%	89.1%

### **Student Mobility Rate**

#### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student M	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	5.3%	<b>4.7</b> %	<b>5.8</b> %	*	+	ŧ	ŧ	7.3%	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	2.3%	5.1%
State	<b>7.9</b> %	7.6%	8.2%	5.0%	11.7%	<b>7.6</b> %	13.2%	9.9%	7.5%	8.6%	8.3%	4.6%	<b>6.7</b> %
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			omeless M	igrant	Military	Youth In Care		·			L

	Learners	WITHEI 3	meonie	Homeless	riigiant	Thirtary	Care
District	10.2%	6.6%	<b>8.7</b> %	33.3%	*	*	ŧ
State	13.6%	7.4%	10.9%	<b>38.2</b> %	38.4%	9.3%	<b>27.9</b> %

### **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgro	ups												
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	15.4%	<b>16.1</b> %	14.6%	*	+	+	ŧ	18.9%	+	+	18.5%	11.6%	<b>19.7</b> %
State	26.3%	26.6%	26.0%	23.6%	<b>32.8</b> %	16.6%	40.4%	32.9%	22.9%	28.0%	26.6%	18.1%	32.7%
	English Learners	Homeles			Low Income	Military	Youth In Care						
District	21.6%	<b>28.6</b> %	22.2	%	21.4%	*	+						
State	<b>32</b> .1%	54.6%	33.6	%	36.3%	20.3%	38.0%						

#### **By Grades**

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	13.6%	12.9%	14.1%	21.2%
State	29.6%	33.1%	35.8%	41.0%

### **Dropout Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgro	By Subgroups												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	1.8%	1.4%	<b>2.1</b> %	*	*	*	*	2.4%	*	*	+	1.4%	<b>1.9</b> %
State	2.7%	2.5%	2.9%	3.2%	4.1%	1.0%	4.5%	3.2%	0.0%	2.3%	3.3%	1.9%	2.4%
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless I	Migrant							
District	ŧ	ŧ	2.0	% :	;	k -							
State	3.7%	2.8%	3.9	% 7	7.6%	10.6%							

#### **By Grades**

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	1.9%	3.5%	3.7%	2.9%
State	2.4%	4.6%	4.5%	3.5%

### **Chronic Truancy Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	<b>6.1</b> %	5.9%	<b>6.3</b> %	*	ŧ	+	ŧ	9.3%	ŧ	+	+	2.0%	8.8%
State	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	8.6%	26.3%	<b>8.1</b> %	44.4%	28.5%	7.8%	20.7%	17.2%	7.4%	22.6%

District	13.5%	12.0%	10.4%
	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income

### **Truancy Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent school days per year without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "truant" as a student who is subject to compulsory school attendance and is absent without a valid excuse for more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent of the past 180 school days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	225 9.7%	111 9.9%	114 9.6%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	14 24.6%	172 15.2%	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	31 3.5%	52 9.9%
State	<b>431,594</b> 25.7%	<b>210,741</b> 25.8%	<b>220,534</b> 25.6%	<b>319</b> 19.0%	<b>1,195</b> 29.9%	<b>19,524</b> 20.6%	<b>86,768</b> 31.5%	<b>157,444</b> 32.8%	<b>626</b> 27.7%	<b>389</b> 25.9%	<b>19,102</b> 26.1%	<b>146,546</b> 19.5%	<b>89,136</b> 26.7%

	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	76	45	129
	17.7%	13.5%	18.4%
State	<b>94,123</b>	<b>69,217</b>	<b>255,729</b>
	33.5%	27.2%	30.7%

### **Student Discipline**

#### What is it?

The tables below provide a summary of discipline incidents occurring over the previous school year. In the following tables incidents are broken down by column (actions taken). The tables represent breakdowns by race, gender, grade level, whether a student is an English learner, incident type, and duration of the action taken.

Total Student Count											
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings					
District	145	*	*	92	73	2					
State	111,577	455	103	71,095	63,510	1,670					

### Total Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	218	*	*	120	96	2
State	253,314	459	103	148,096	102,584	2,072



## By Race - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
American Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	693	*	*	422	266	5			
Asian									
District	2	*	*	*	2	*			
State	2,469	1	1	1,576	874	17			
Black									
District	14	*	*	7	7	*			
State	89,929	188	36	45,037	43,342	1,326			
Hispanic	1			1	1				
District	157	*	*	79	77	1			
State	66,026	90	32	43,498	22,178	228			
Native Hawaiian/	Pacific Islander	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	183	*	*	112	71	*			
Two or More Race	es			1	1				
District	6	*	*	6	*	*			
State	17,008	44	9	9,837	6,966	152			
White	·	·		·	·				
District	39	*	*	28	10	1			
State	76,667	136	25	47,398	28,764	344			



## By Grade Band - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings				
K-8	К-8									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	124,379	139	31	65,831	57,183	1,195				
9-12										
District	218	*	*	120	96	2				
State	128,935	320	72	82,265	45,401	877				

## By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Tobacco								
District	9	*	*	7	2	*		
State	7,455	5	1	4,257	3,164	28		
Alcohol								
District	15	*	*	4	11	*		
State	3,198	2	*	1,295	1,878	23		
Drug Offences								
District	40	*	*	24	15	1		
State	10,678	88	16	3,149	7,214	211		
Violence with Phy	ysical Injury							
District	18	*	*	*	17	1		
State	15,625	103	14	3,518	11,871	119		



## By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Violence without	t Physical Injury							
District	16	*	*	1	15	*		
State	50,695	106	25	19,517	30,324	723		
Dangerous Weapon: Firearm								
District	1	*	*	*	1	*		
State	499	8	6	86	388	11		
Dangerous Weap	on: Other			1		1		
District	2	*	*	*	2	*		
State	2,171	51	12	547	1,472	89		
Other Reason		1	1	1		1		
District	117	*	*	84	33	*		
State	162,993	96	29	115,727	46,273	868		

## By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
English Learners									
District	71	*	*	42	29	*			
State	37,976	39	19	25,420	12,372	126			
Students with IEF	PS .								
District	57	*	*	29	28	*			
State	66,546	75	4	36,569	29,362	536			



## By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Low Income						
District	115	*	*	65	50	*
State	187,999	372	82	107,558	78,336	1,651

## By Duration - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings		
Less than 1 day								
District	21	*	*	5	16	*		
State	32,224	1	1	28,032	4,171	19		
1-2 days								
District	100	*	*	73	27	*		
State	139,069	77	4	100,589	37,898	501		
2-3 days								
District	49	*	*	36	13	*		
State	38,526	3	1	13,746	24,575	201		
3-4 days				1	1	1		
District	31	*	*	6	25	*		
State	25,631	*	*	4,402	21,069	160		
4-10 days		1		1	<u> </u>	1		
District	9	*	*	*	9	*		
State	12,050	6	*	1,123	10,680	241		



## **By Duration - Incident Count**

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Greater than 10 days									
District	8	*	*	*	6	2			
State	5,814	372	97	204	4,191	950			

## By Gender - Incident Count


	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Female									
District	96	*	*	48	48	*			
State	80,404	155	31	46,399	33,111	708			
Male									
District	122	*	*	72	48	2			
State	172,782	302	72	101,617	69,428	1,363			
Non Binary									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	128	2	*	80	45	1			

# Accountability

# About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has five summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, Comprehensive School, and Intensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual\* summative designation.

\* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



## **School Improvement Funds**

## What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

#### Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

	Cohort Year	School Improvement Status	Term within School Improvement Status Cycle	Current School Improvement Grant Term Allocation	Reason for Improvement Status & Grant Allocation
Mundelein Cons High School	*	*	*	*	*

# Accountability

## **Summative Designation Meta Indicator Components**

#### What is it?

Summative Designation Meta Indicators: The accountability system has meta-indicators that are currently being validated for use as indicators within the summative designation calculations in future years. The Illinois Report Card is not reporting an overall calculation, but rather the sub-elements of the indicator calculations.

Percentage of Students with 95% Attendance in Junior/Senior year: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who graduated with an average attendance rate of 95% or better over their junior and senior years.

Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state whose GPA fell into one of four categorical ranges (as noted in the table).

Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who met the minimum composite score requirements on the SAT or ACT.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic ELA Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic ELA indicator.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic Math Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic MATH indicator.

Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have identified a career area of interest by their sophomore year.

Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career-ready indicators.

Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned a college and career pathway endorsement.

Student Participation in Fine Arts: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who were enrolled in one or more fine arts courses in the previous year.

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in the district and the state enrolled in fine arts courses taught by teachers licensed to teach fine arts courses.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/Chronic Absenteeism: The percentage of students in grades K, 1, and 2 who are chronically absent.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/Dual Language Programs: The percentage of students designated as "Currently EL" or "Currently EL Transition Incomplete" students from K, 1st, and 2nd grade who are enrolled in a dual language program.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/3rd Grade Literacy: The percentage of 3rd grade students who have received an A, B, or C (or commensurate standards) in English Language Arts (ELA).

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/5th Grade Math: The percentage of 5th grade students who have received an A, B, or C (or commensurate standards) in math.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/Academic Success: The percentage of 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students who have received at least one A or B (or commensurate standards) and no grade of D and F (or commensurate standards) in core content courses in the current academic year.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/Student Discipline: The percentage of 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students who have received a suspension or expulsion in the current academic year.

<sup>\*</sup> indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

## Percentage of students with 95% attendance in JR/SR year

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	487 95.1%	237 95.6%	250 94.7%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	224 91.4%	*	•	30 96.8%	208 98.6%	101 94.4%
State	<b>94,588</b> 70.6%	<b>46,845</b> 70.4%	<b>47,663</b> 70.7%	<b>80</b> 86.0%	<b>174</b> 61.7%	<b>6,521</b> 85.8%	<b>8,660</b> 42.9%	<b>20,675</b> 54.7%	*	<b>73</b> 62.9%	<b>3,657</b> 75.1%	<b>54,828</b> 86.8%	<b>16,343</b> 65.5%

	Learners	Former EL	with IEPs	Income
District	44	173	50	133
	86.3%	97.2%	92.6%	91.1%
State	<b>5,598</b>	<b>13,987</b>	<b>9,440</b>	<b>31,642</b>
	49.0%	61.8%	59.9%	53.3%

## Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category

All									
District	<b>79</b>	268	160	4					
	15.4%	52.3%	31.3%	0.8%					
State	<b>27,604</b>	<b>43,394</b>	<b>35,867</b>	<b>16,205</b>					
	20.6%	32.4%	26.8%	12.1%					
Female		I	I						
District	46	131	70	1					
	18.6%	52.8%	28.2%	0.4%					
State	<b>16,583</b>	<b>22,057</b>	<b>14,484</b>	<b>8,023</b>					
	24.9%	33.2%	21.8%	12.1%					
Male									
District	33	137	90	<b>3</b>					
	12.5%	51.9%	34.1%	1.1%					
State	<b>10,994</b>	<b>21,306</b>	<b>21,373</b>	<b>8,163</b>					
	16.3%	31.6%	31.7%	12.1%					

Percentage of students wh	Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category									
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	< 2.8	No GPA						
Non Binary										
District	•	*	*	•						
State	<b>27</b> 29.0%	<b>31</b> 33.3%	<b>10</b> 10.8%	<b>19</b> 20.4%						
American Indian										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>41</b> 14.5%	<b>79</b> 28.0%	<b>115</b> 40.8%	<b>24</b> 8.5%						
Asian										
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡						
State	<b>3,634</b> 47.8%	<b>2,730</b> 35.9%	<b>855</b> 11.2%	<b>262</b> 3.5%						
Black										
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡						
State	<b>1,417</b> 7.0%	<b>5,151</b> 25.5%	<b>7,669</b> 38.0%	<b>4,072</b> 20.2%						
Hispanic										
District	15 6.1%	113 46.1%	112 45.7%	4 1.6%						
State	<b>4,002</b> 10.6%	<b>11,928</b> 31.6%	<b>14,262</b> 37.7%	<b>5,254</b> 13.9%						
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						

ercentage of students who fall into each GPA category											
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	<2.8	No GPA							
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	Islander										
District	*	•	•	•							
State	<b>30</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>13</b>							
	25.9%	37.1%	22.4%	11.2%							
Two or More Races	Two or More Races										
District	8	22	1	0							
	25.8%	71.0%	3.2%	0.0%							
State	<b>1,164</b>	<b>1,540</b>	<b>1,276</b>	<b>527</b>							
	23.9%	31.6%	26.2%	10.8%							
White											
District	52	120	39	0							
	24.6%	56.9%	18.5%	0.0%							
State	<b>17,316</b>	<b>21,923</b>	<b>11,664</b>	<b>6,053</b>							
	27.4%	34.7%	18.5%	9.6%							
Students with Disabilitie	s										
District	5	60	38	3							
	4.7%	56.1%	35.5%	2.8%							
State	<b>2,865</b>	<b>8,041</b>	<b>8,926</b>	<b>3,337</b>							
	11.5%	32.2%	35.8%	13.4%							
English Learners											
District	1	18	28	3							
	2.0%	35.3%	54.9%	5.9%							
State	<b>679</b>	<b>3,373</b>	<b>4,972</b>	<b>1,652</b>							
	6.0%	29.5%	43.6%	14.5%							
Former EL		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·							
District	14	94	70	0							
	7.9%	52.8%	39.3%	0.0%							
State	<b>4,155</b>	<b>7,979</b>	<b>7,057</b>	<b>2,418</b>							
	18.4%	35.3%	31.2%	10.7%							

Percentage of students wh	Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category									
	> 3.75	2.8 - 3.75	< 2.8	No GPA						
Students with IEPs										
District	0	22	28	3						
	0.0%	40.7%	51.8%	5.6%						
State	<b>1,001</b>	<b>4,336</b>	<b>6,482</b>	<b>2,535</b>						
	6.4%	27.5%	41.2%	16.1%						
Low Income										
District	9	63	71	3						
	6.2%	43.1%	48.6%	2.0%						
State	<b>6,166</b>	<b>16,557</b>	<b>21,153</b>	<b>9,988</b>						
	10.4 %	27.9%	35.6%	16.8%						

## Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	114 22.3%	48 19.4%	66 25.0%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	24 9.8%	*	*	12 38.7%	70 33.2%	15 14.0%
State	<b>25,068</b> 18.7%	<b>12,327</b> 18.5%	<b>12,708</b> 18.9%	<b>33</b> 35.5%	<b>37</b> 13.1%	<b>3,976</b> 52.3%	<b>930</b> 4.6%	<b>3,931</b> 10.4%	*	<b>41</b> 35.3%	<b>1,126</b> 23.1%	<b>15,027</b> 23.8%	<b>3,360</b> 13.5%

		English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
Distri	ct	0 0.0%	25 14.0%	4 7.4%	13 8.9%
State		<b>456</b> 4.0%	<b>4,119</b> 18.2%	<b>645</b> 4.1%	<b>4,467</b> 7.5%

## Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic ELA Indicator

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	299 58.4%	151 60.9%	148 56.1%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	117 47.8%	*	*	28 90.3%	136 64.5%	46 43.0%
State	<b>64,769</b> 48.3%	<b>35,486</b> 53.3%	<b>29,231</b> 43.4%	<b>52</b> 55.9%	<b>103</b> 36.5%	<b>5,958</b> 78.3%	<b>6,703</b> 33.2%	<b>15,619</b> 41.3%	*	<b>69</b> 59.5%	<b>2,431</b> 49.9%	<b>33,886</b> 53.7%	<b>8,237</b> 33.0%
	English		Stud	ents Lo	W	1							

	Learners	Former EL	with IEPs	Income
District	10	102	13	70
	19.6%	57.3%	24.1%	48.0%
State	<b>2,293</b>	<b>12,400</b>	<b>2,626</b>	<b>20,930</b>
	20.1%	54.8%	16.7%	35.3%

#### Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic Math Indicator

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	391 76.4%	177 71.4%	214 81.1%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	169 69.0%	*	*	28 90.3%	173 82.0%	74 69.2%
State	<b>92,695</b> 69.2%	<b>48,537</b> 73.0%	<b>44,086</b> 65.4%	<b>72</b> 77.4%	<b>173</b> 61.4%	<b>6,755</b> 88.8%	<b>11,398</b> 56.4%	<b>24,120</b> 63.8%	*	<b>84</b> 72.4%	<b>3,266</b> 67.1%	<b>46,899</b> 74.3%	<b>13,619</b> 54.5%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	34	127	37	97
	66.7%	71.3%	68.5%	66.4%
State	<b>5,805</b>	<b>16,750</b>	<b>6,602</b>	<b>34,525</b>
	50.9%	74.0%	41.9%	58.1%

## Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	426 83.2%	213 85.9%	213 80.7%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	185 75.5%	*	*	30 96.8%	190 90.0%	75 70.1%
State	<b>70,373</b> 52.5%	<b>34,837</b> 52.4%	<b>35,490</b> 52.7%	<b>46</b> 49.5%	<b>147</b> 52.1%	<b>5,351</b> 70.4%	<b>6,499</b> 32.2%	<b>16,954</b> 44.9%	*	<b>51</b> 44.0%	<b>2,687</b> 55.2%	<b>38,684</b> 61.3%	<b>12,208</b> 48.9%
	English		Stud	ents Lo	W								

	Learners	Former EL	withIEPs	Income
District	22	156	27	104
	43.1%	87.6%	50.0%	71.2%
State	<b>5,031</b>	<b>11,853</b>	<b>6,984</b>	<b>24,316</b>
	44.1%	52.4%	44.4%	41.0%

#### Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

-		-		
	3+	only 2	only 1	0
All				
District	438	43	12	19
	85.5%	8.4%	2.3%	3.7%
State	<b>43,130</b>	<b>23,491</b>	<b>30,663</b>	<b>36,721</b>
	32.2%	17.5%	22.9%	27.4%
Female				
District	211	21	5	11
	85.1%	8.5%	2.0%	4.4%
State	<b>21,585</b>	<b>11,600</b>	<b>14,670</b>	<b>18,666</b>
	32.5%	17.4%	22.1%	28.1%
Male				
District	227	22	7	8
	86.0%	8.3%	2.6%	3.0%
State	<b>21,533</b>	<b>11,877</b>	<b>15,953</b>	<b>18,028</b>
	31.9%	17.6%	23.7%	26.8%

## Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

	3+	only 2	only 1	0
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	•
State	<b>12</b> 12.9%	<b>14</b> 15.0%	<b>40</b> 43.0%	<b>27</b> 29.0%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>67</b> 23.8%	<b>44</b> 15.6%	<b>73</b> 25.9%	<b>98</b> 34.8%
Asian				
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>2,640</b> 34.7%	<b>1,779</b> 23.4%	<b>1,636</b> 21.5%	<b>1,549</b> 20.4%
Black				
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	<b>3,414</b> 16.9%	<b>3,069</b> 15.2%	<b>4,884</b> 24.2%	<b>8,829</b> 43.7%
Hispanic				
District	195 79.6%	30 12.2%	10 4.1%	10 4.1%
State	<b>8,885</b> 23.5%	<b>5,540</b> 14.7%	<b>8,643</b> 22.9%	<b>14,731</b> 39.0%
MENA	·	·	·	
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*

## Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3+	only 2	only 1	0
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	<b>29</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>47</b>
	25.0%	13.8%	20.7%	40.5%
Two or More Races				
District	30	1	0	0
	96.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
State	<b>1,645</b>	<b>847</b>	<b>1,239</b>	<b>1,139</b>
	33.8%	17.4%	25.4%	23.4%
White				
District	192	9	2	8
	91.0%	4.3%	0.9%	3.8%
State	<b>26,450</b>	<b>12,196</b>	<b>14,164</b>	<b>10,328</b>
	41.9%	19.3%	22.4%	16.4%
Students with Disabilities				
District	92	9	1	5
	86.0%	8.4%	0.9%	4.7%
State	<b>6,480</b>	<b>3,947</b>	<b>6,074</b>	<b>8,466</b>
	25.9%	15.8%	24.3%	33.9%
English Learners				
District	33	7	4	7
	64.7%	13.7%	7.8%	13.7%
State	<b>1,958</b>	<b>1,517</b>	<b>2,685</b>	<b>5,253</b>
	17.2%	13.3%	23.5%	46.0%
Former EL				
District	158	16	2	2
	88.8%	9.0%	1.1%	1.1%
State	<b>6,420</b>	<b>3,893</b>	<b>4,963</b>	<b>7,357</b>
	28.4%	17.2%	21.9%	32.5%

## Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators

	3+	only 2	only 1	0
Students with IEPs				
District	43	6	1	4
	79.6%	11.1%	1.9%	7.4%
State	<b>3,423</b>	<b>2,229</b>	<b>4,080</b>	<b>6,016</b>
	21.7%	14.2%	25.9%	38.2%
Low Income				
District	118	13	5	10
	80.8%	8.9%	3.4%	6.8%
State	<b>13,495</b>	<b>8,607</b>	<b>14,231</b>	<b>23,045</b>
	22.7%	14.5%	24.0%	38.8%

#### Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	0 0.0%	*	*	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
State	<b>2,218</b> 1.7%	<b>1,426</b> 2.1%	<b>792</b> 1.2%	<b>0</b> 0.0%	<b>3</b> 1.1%	<b>252</b> 3.3%	<b>130</b> 0.6%	<b>527</b> 1.4%	*	<b>3</b> 2.6%	<b>79</b> 1.6%	<b>1,224</b> 1.9%	<b>291</b> 1.2%

	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	<b>108</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>677</b>
	0.9%	2.4%	0.6%	1.1%

## Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	33.5%	<b>37.9</b> %	29.2%	*	+	45.6%	28.6%	28.0%	*	+	34.4%	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>39.9</b> %
State	71.5%	74.5%	68.8%	60.4%	72.3%	77.2%	70.8%	73.3%	*	72.7%	<b>73.6</b> %	69.8%	69.6%

Fine Arts: S	Student Pa	rticipation	in Fine Arts	6
	English Learners	Former EL	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	25.7%	30.4%	38.7%	26.6%
State	77.8%	64.2%	69.0%	71.6%

## Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.9%	100.0%	<b>99.7</b> %	*	ŧ	100.0%	ŧ	100.0%	*	ŧ	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
State	95.8%	95.8%	96.0%	97.9%	96.0%	98.3%	90.8%	96.0%	*	96.5%	96.9%	97.2%	94.8%
	English Learners	s Forme			Low Income								
District	100.0%	100.09	% 100	0.0%	100.0%								
State	<b>96.7</b> %	95.4%	94.	4%	94.4%								



# About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



## **Teacher Information**

## What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	All Schools	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	16	59.8%	100.0%
State	14	66.0%	97.2%

# **Full-Time Equivalents**

## What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district. This displays the race and gender distribution by FTE for teachers in (this school/this district/the state). The table shows distribution by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE). Information is gathered about the race/ethnicity of school and district teachers. The percentage of male and female teachers is also compiled.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	AII	100.0% 138.4	*	2.5% 3.5	*	7.2% 10	*	2.2% 3	*	85.2% 117.9	2.9% 4
	Female	55.4% 76.6	*	43.2% 1.5	*	80.0% 8	*	33.3% 1	*	53.5% 63.1	75.0% 3
	Male	44.6% 61.8	*	56.8% 2	*	20.0% 2	*	66.7% 2	*	46.5% 54.8	<b>25.0%</b> 1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	<b>100.0%</b> 137212.1	<b>0.2%</b> 274.8	<b>2.0%</b> 2750.6	<b>6.4%</b> 8800	<b>8.9%</b> 12198.5	*	<b>0.1%</b> 105.4	<b>0.8%</b> 1110.4	<b>79.6%</b> 109172.2	<b>2.0%</b> 2778
	Female	<b>76.5%</b> 104942.3	<b>77.1%</b> 212	<b>77.0%</b> 2118.9	<b>78.4%</b> 6903.5	<b>77.7%</b> 9474	*	<b>70.0%</b> 73.8	<b>75.3%</b> 836.1	<b>76.2%</b> 83191.1	<b>76.0%</b> 2110.7
	Male	<b>23.5%</b> 32266.1	<b>22.9%</b> 62.8	<b>23.0%</b> 631.7	<b>21.6%</b> 1896.5	<b>22.3%</b> 2724.5	*	<b>30.0%</b> 31.6	<b>24.7%</b> 274.3	<b>23.8%</b> 25977.3	<b>24.0%</b> 667.3
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# **Teacher Head Count**

### What is it?

Not Available.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 150	*	2.5% 5	*	7.2% 10	*	2.2% 3	•	85.2% 128	2.9% 4
	Female	55.4% 82	*	43.2% 3	*	80.0% 8	*	33.3% 1	*	53.5% 67	75.0% 3
	Male	44.6% 68	*	56.8% 2	*	20.0% 2	*	66.7% 2	*	46.5% 61	25.0% 1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 140477	<b>0.2%</b> 279	<b>2.0%</b> 2828	<b>6.4%</b> 9012	<b>8.9%</b> 12407	*	<b>0.1%</b> 107	<b>0.8%</b> 1146	<b>79.6%</b> 111822	<b>2.0%</b> 2853
	Female	<b>76.5%</b> 107410	<b>77.1%</b> 215	<b>77.0%</b> 2179	<b>78.4%</b> 7059	<b>77.7%</b> 9638	*	<b>70.0%</b> 75	<b>75.3%</b> 864	<b>76.2%</b> 85192	<b>76.0%</b> 2165
	Male	<b>23.5%</b> 33063	<b>22.9%</b> 64	<b>23.0%</b> 649	<b>21.6%</b> 1953	<b>22.3%</b> 2769	*	<b>30.0%</b> 32	<b>24.7%</b> 282	<b>23.8%</b> 26626	<b>24.0%</b> 688
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



## **Teachers Education**

#### What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers with bachelor's and master's degrees across the state and highlight the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

## Teachers Education - Bachelor's

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	34.2%	•	34.2%
State	42.0%	42.2%	36.2%

#### Teachers Education - Master's

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	65.8%	*	65.8%
State	57.0%	55.8%	63.4%

## **Student-To-Teacher Ratios**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	*	18.5
State	17.1	17.3



## **Novice Teachers**

#### What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	5 4.3%	1	5 4.0%
State	<b>9,160</b> 6.7%	<b>2,711</b> 9.0%	<b>1,949</b> 4.0%

## **Teacher Out of Field**

#### What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "out-of-field" – a teacher teaching in a grade or content area for which he or she does not hold the appropriate state-issued license, endorsement, approvals, or previous qualifications. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	9 6.3%	:	9 6.0%
State	<b>4,634</b> 4.1%	<b>798</b> 3.0%	<b>1,182</b> 3.0%



# **Teachers with Short Term or Provisional Licenses**

#### What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers teaching with short-term or provisional licenses. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	2 1.4%	•	2 1.0%
State	<b>4,307</b> 3.1%	<b>1,409</b> 4.0%	<b>694</b> 1.0%



## Retention

## What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	92.3% 358	*	87.5% 7	*	86.4% 19	*	90.0% 9	*	92.7% 316	100.0% 7
	Female	90.9% 190	*	100.0% 3	*	90.5% 19	*	75.0% 3	*	90.9% 159	100.0% 6
	Male	93.9% 168	*	80.0% 4	*	0.0% 0	*	100.0% 6	*	94.6% 157	100.0% 1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	<b>89.6%</b> 318,798	<b>89.0%</b> 566	<b>88.5%</b> 5,292	<b>84.6%</b> 14,534	<b>89.2%</b> 22,226	*	<b>89.9%</b> 195	<b>86.7%</b> 2,310	<b>89.9%</b> 268,681	<b>88.7%</b> 4,952
	Female	<b>89.2%</b> 242,531	<b>88.4%</b> 426	<b>88.1%</b> 4,042	<b>84.7%</b> 11,348	<b>89.2%</b> 17,160	*	<b>90.3%</b> 139	<b>86.6%</b> 1,732	<b>89.5%</b> 203,914	<b>88.1%</b> 3,728
	Male	<b>90.8%</b> 76,267	<b>90.9%</b> 140	<b>90.1%</b> 1,250	<b>84.2%</b> 3,186	<b>89.4%</b> 5,066	*	<b>88.9%</b> 56	<b>87.2%</b> 578	<b>91.3%</b> 64,767	<b>90.7%</b> 1,224
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



## **Average Teacher Salary**

### What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$104,956
State	\$75,978

## **Teacher Evaluation**

### What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	64	0	64
	100.0%	*	100.0%
State	<b>66,326</b>	<b>14,938</b>	<b>20,636</b>
	97.2%	94.0%	98.6%



## **Teachers with Gifted Endorsement**

#### What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

	Teachers with Gifted Endorsement
District	*
State	1,145

## **National Board Certified Teachers**

#### What is it?

This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	All	Female	Male	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,199	1,763	436	6	63	134	188	*	1	24	1,757	*



## **Average Teaching Experience**

### What is it?


The table below represents the average teaching experience of teachers across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools		
District	16	*	*		
State	14	*	*		

# **Administrators & Support Personnel**

# About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



## **Student-To-Staff Ratios**

## What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio	
District	10	109	
State	9	136	

# **Demographics**

## What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 20.6	*	*	*	9.7% 2	*	*	•	90.3% 18.6	*
	Female	47.7% 9.8	*	*	*	50.0% 1	*	*	*	47.4% 8.8	*
	Male	52.3% 10.8	*	*	*	50.0% 1	*	*	*	52.6% 9.8	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 13641.6	<b>0.2%</b> 24.8	<b>1.1%</b> 150	<b>14.8%</b> 2017.8	<b>7.0%</b> 955.8	*	<b>0.1%</b> 7	<b>0.7%</b> 102	<b>74.3%</b> 10136.3	<b>1.8%</b> 245.9
	Female	<b>60.2%</b> 8217.7	<b>64.8%</b> 16	<b>59.8%</b> 89.8	<b>72.2%</b> 1457.3	<b>65.6%</b> 626.8	*	<b>57.1%</b> 4	<b>69.1%</b> 70.4	<b>57.1%</b> 5784	<b>68.5%</b> 168.3
	Male	<b>39.8%</b> 5423.9	<b>35.2%</b> 8.7	<b>40.2%</b> 60.3	<b>27.8%</b> 560.5	<b>34.4%</b> 329	*	<b>42.9%</b> 3	<b>30.9%</b> 31.6	<b>42.9%</b> 4352.2	<b>31.5%</b> 77.6
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

# **Principal Turnover**

## What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years	
District	2	
State	2	

## **Average Administrator Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$151,947
State	\$119,384

# **Novice Administrator**

## What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of administrators defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	2 12.6%	:	2 12.6%
State	<b>1,455</b> 10.7%	<b>294</b> 12.2%	<b>228</b> 8.5%

## **Support Personnel FTE**

#### What is it?

This displays the total support personnel (Counselors, School Nurses, School Psychologists, and School Social Workers) by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE).

Total Support Personnel FTE							
	Total School Counselor FTE	Total School Nurse FTE	Total School Psychologist FTE	Total School Social Worker FTE			
District	8	1	5	6			
State	3747.4	1209.5	2091.7	4282.6			

# **Pupil Support Personnel Ratio**

## What is it?

These tables display the average number of students per counselor, the average number of students per nurse, the average number of students per social worker, and the average number of students per psychologist in this entity (whether it be school, district, or the state.) The ratio is calculated by using the fall enrollment total, divided by the number of FTE psychologists. When examining school or district ratios keep in mind that the number of psychologists can vary greatly between schools and districts, depending on their size, student population, programs, and financial resources.

State	494	1,531	885	432				
District	280	2,241	448	374				
	Student/School Counselor Ratio Student/School Nurse Ratio		Student/School Psychologist Ratio	Student/School Social Worker Ratio				
Student/Support Personnel Ratio								

# About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

## **Student Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	6.7%	3.4%	0.5%	0.2%	2.9%	*
State	4.0%	3.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	*

## Student Environment (cont)

	Incidents of Harassment or		Number of Schools with Incidents of		
	Bullying	Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide	
District	0.1%	2.8%	0	0	
State	0.8%	3.3%	3	3	

## **Academic Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

		Advanced Placement (AP)	Advanced Placement Course Work		
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work	
District	0.0%	28.5%	0.0%	27.7%	
	0	601	0	585	
State	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	
	70,447	137,290	6,371	78,721	



# **About the data**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a <u>sample of student</u> <u>populations of interest</u>. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

## **Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP**

#### What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

### Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading

Jurisdiction

Illinois

#### Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics

Jurisdiction

Illinois